Review of Contemporary Philosophy
ISSN: 1841-5261, e-ISSN: 2471-089X
Vol 24 (01), 2025
pp. 518 – 529

Multidisciplinary Management of Burn and Reconstructive Surgery: Integrating Nursing, Internal Medicine, and Diagnostic Radiology in Saudi Tertiary Care

Maha Zaher Ali Albariqi[1], Hanan Zamil Thani Alshamry[2], Sara Salman Methgal Alshamry[2], Mohammed Ali M. Alshehri[3]

[1]Nurse, Burns and Reconstructive Surgery Unit, Prince Sultan Military Medical City
[2]Internal Medicine Nurse
[3]Diagnostic Radiologist, Medical Center of KFSC

Abstract

Background: Burn and reconstructive surgery patients require comprehensive multidisciplinary care that integrates specialized nursing expertise, internal medicine management, and advanced diagnostic imaging. The complexity of burn injuries and reconstructive procedures necessitates coordinated care delivery across multiple specialties to optimize patient outcomes and minimize complications.

Objective: This study examines the multidisciplinary management of burn and reconstructive surgery patients in Saudi tertiary care settings, focusing on the integration of specialized nursing care, internal medicine support, and diagnostic radiology services to enhance patient outcomes and care quality.

Methods: A comprehensive review was conducted examining current practices, care protocols, and outcomes associated with multidisciplinary burn and reconstructive surgery management in Saudi tertiary care facilities. Literature review encompassed evidence-based practices, role definitions, and collaborative care models spanning 2014 to 2024.

Results: Analysis revealed that effective burn and reconstructive surgery management requires seamless integration of specialized nursing care for wound management and patient monitoring, internal medicine expertise for systemic complications and comorbidity management, and diagnostic radiology support for treatment planning and outcome assessment. Key success factors include standardized protocols, interprofessional communication, and coordinated care pathways.

Conclusion: Multidisciplinary teams integrating nursing, internal medicine, and diagnostic radiology expertise demonstrate superior outcomes in burn and reconstructive surgery patient care. Healthcare organizations should prioritize collaborative care models with clear role definitions and systematic outcome measurement to optimize patient recovery and satisfaction.

Keywords: burn surgery, reconstructive surgery, multidisciplinary care, nursing, internal medicine, diagnostic radiology, Saudi Arabia

Received: 04 May 2025 **Received:** 15 June 2025 **Accepted:** 27 June 2025

1. Introduction

Burn injuries and the subsequent requirement for reconstructive surgery represent among the most complex medical challenges in contemporary healthcare, necessitating comprehensive multidisciplinary approaches that integrate diverse professional expertise to address the multifaceted needs of affected patients (Alshogaih et al., 2024; Pradelli et al., 2025). The management of burn patients requires specialized knowledge spanning acute care, wound management, infection control, pain management, psychological support, and long-term rehabilitation that extends well beyond the initial injury period (Strandås et al., 2024; Humphreys & Ranganathan, 2025).

In Saudi Arabia, tertiary care facilities such as Prince Sultan Military Medical City have developed specialized burn and reconstructive surgery units that provide comprehensive care for complex burn injuries and related reconstructive procedures (Wagner et al., 2021; Gross et al., 2025). These specialized units require sophisticated multidisciplinary teams capable of managing both the acute phase of burn injury and the extended recovery and reconstruction process that may span months or years (Herzberg et al., 2019; Crowe et al., 2017).

The integration of specialized nursing care, internal medicine expertise, and diagnostic radiology services represents a critical component of effective burn and reconstructive surgery management (Boulton et al., 2024; Acquisto et al., 2020). Specialized burn nursing encompasses wound care expertise, infection prevention, pain management, and psychological support that requires advanced training and ongoing professional development (Lindlöf et al., 2025; Walker et al., 2022).

Internal medicine involvement in burn care addresses the complex systemic complications and comorbidities that frequently accompany severe burn injuries, including cardiovascular, respiratory, renal, and metabolic complications that require specialized medical management (Zimmer et al., 2024; Alshehri et al., 2024). The expertise of internal medicine specialists is particularly crucial during the acute phase of burn care when systemic complications can significantly impact patient survival and recovery outcomes (Beatrous et al., 2021; Hjortdahl et al., 2018).

Diagnostic radiology services provide essential support for burn and reconstructive surgery through advanced imaging modalities that inform treatment planning, monitor healing progress, assess complications, and evaluate surgical outcomes (Sajid et al., 2024; Udod et al., 2021). Modern imaging techniques enable precise assessment of burn depth, tissue viability, and healing progress that guide clinical decision-making throughout the treatment process (Han et al., 2022; Ruiz-Ramos et al., 2021).

The coordination of these diverse professional contributions requires systematic approaches to care delivery that ensure seamless communication, shared decision-making, and patient-centered care planning (Wise et al., 2021; Burnod et al., 2012). Effective multidisciplinary burn care teams demonstrate improved patient outcomes, reduced complications, enhanced functional recovery, and increased patient satisfaction compared to traditional single-specialty approaches (Yumoto et al., 2024; Rudin et al., 2021).

This comprehensive analysis examines the multidisciplinary management of burn and reconstructive surgery patients in Saudi tertiary care settings, focusing on the integration of specialized nursing care, internal medicine support, and diagnostic radiology services to enhance patient outcomes and optimize care quality.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Burn Care and Reconstructive Surgery Overview

Burn injuries represent complex medical conditions requiring specialized knowledge and coordinated care approaches that address both immediate life-threatening complications and long-term functional and aesthetic outcomes (Bjöhle et al., 2024; Abbas et al., 2024). The management of burn patients encompasses multiple phases including initial resuscitation, wound care, infection prevention, pain management, and rehabilitation that may extend over months or years depending on injury severity and extent (Spivak et al., 2020; Hanfling, 2020).

Reconstructive surgery following burn injury aims to restore both function and appearance through sophisticated surgical techniques that may include skin grafting, flap reconstruction, tissue expansion, and microsurgical procedures (Clarke & Forster, 2015; Moussa, 2020). The success of reconstructive procedures depends on careful patient selection, optimal timing, meticulous surgical technique, and comprehensive perioperative care that addresses both surgical and medical considerations (Hickman et al., 2015; Luu, 2021).

Contemporary burn care emphasizes early intervention, aggressive wound management, infection prevention, and early mobilization that requires coordinated multidisciplinary teams capable of addressing

the complex needs of burn patients (Epstein, 2014; Alsagoor et al., 2024). Evidence-based practices in burn care have demonstrated improved outcomes through standardized protocols, specialized training, and systematic quality improvement initiatives (Aghdam et al., 2019; Sacchettini et al., 2022).

2.2 Specialized Nursing in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

Burn nursing represents a highly specialized field requiring advanced knowledge of wound physiology, infection control, pain management, and psychological support that differs significantly from general nursing practice (Häske et al., 2022; Merien et al., 2010). Specialized burn nurses must possess expertise in wound assessment, dressing techniques, infection prevention, pain management, and patient education that enables optimal wound healing and complication prevention (Bohm et al., 2015; Maddock et al., 2020).

The nursing role in burn care encompasses both technical competencies and therapeutic relationship skills that support patients through the challenging recovery process (Stokes et al., 2016; Morabito et al., 2024). Burn nurses serve as patient advocates, care coordinators, and family educators while providing direct care that requires both clinical expertise and emotional support capabilities (Partyka et al., 2022; Berben et al., 2024).

Advanced practice nursing roles in burn care may include wound care specialists, case managers, and clinical nurse specialists who provide consultation, education, and quality improvement leadership (Ramage & McLachlan, 2023; Givens & Holcomb, 2024). These advanced roles require specialized education, certification, and ongoing professional development that maintains current knowledge of evidence-based burn care practices (Burkholder et al., 2024; Mueller et al., 2023).

2.3 Internal Medicine Contributions to Burn Care

Internal medicine specialists provide essential expertise in managing the complex systemic complications and comorbidities associated with severe burn injuries (Maciel et al., 2024; Davidson et al., 2024). These complications may include cardiovascular instability, respiratory compromise, renal dysfunction, metabolic disturbances, and infectious complications that require specialized medical management (Louis et al., 2022; Fitzpatrick et al., 2018).

The role of internal medicine in burn care is particularly critical during the acute phase when systemic complications can be life-threatening and require immediate intervention (Kang et al., 2025; Cottrell et al., 2014). Internal medicine expertise encompasses fluid and electrolyte management, cardiovascular support, respiratory care, nutritional support, and infection management that complement surgical interventions (Kim et al., 2020; Lazzara et al., 2015).

Comorbidity management represents another important contribution of internal medicine to burn care, particularly in elderly patients or those with pre-existing medical conditions that may complicate recovery (Lang et al., 2012; Hickman et al., 2015). Internal medicine specialists provide ongoing medical management that supports surgical interventions while addressing underlying health conditions that may impact healing and recovery (Hautz et al., 2018; Todorova et al., 2021).

2.4 Diagnostic Radiology in Burn and Reconstructive Surgery

Diagnostic radiology plays increasingly important roles in burn and reconstructive surgery through advanced imaging modalities that inform treatment planning, monitor healing progress, and assess surgical outcomes (Steinemann et al., 2011; Dixon et al., 2021). Modern imaging techniques enable precise assessment of burn depth, tissue viability, vascular supply, and healing progress that guide clinical decision-making throughout the treatment process (Ruiz, 2020; Mitchnik et al., 2023).

Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging provide detailed anatomical information that supports surgical planning for complex reconstructive procedures (MacFarlane & Benn, 2003; De Mesquita et al., 2023). These imaging modalities enable surgeons to assess tissue planes, vascular anatomy, and structural relationships that inform surgical approach selection and procedure planning (Garner, 2004; Karcioglu & Eneyli, 2019).

Interventional radiology procedures may provide therapeutic options for burn patients, including vascular interventions, drainage procedures, and minimally invasive treatments that complement surgical management (Connolly et al., 2018; Dada et al., 2025). These interventional capabilities require specialized training and equipment that enhance the treatment options available for complex burn patients (Nania et al., 2020; Falchenberg et al., 2024).

2.5 Multidisciplinary Team Coordination and Communication

Effective multidisciplinary burn care requires systematic approaches to team coordination that ensure seamless communication, shared decision-making, and patient-centered care planning (Kilner & Sheppard, 2010; Wawrzynek, 2024). These coordination mechanisms must address both formal structures such as multidisciplinary rounds and informal communication pathways that support ongoing collaboration (Schewe et al., 2019; Grol et al., 2018).

Communication systems in burn care teams encompass both verbal and written communication that must be accurate, timely, and accessible to all team members (Starshinin et al., 2024; Vicente et al., 2021). Electronic health records, standardized documentation protocols, and structured communication tools enhance team coordination while reducing communication errors (Mould-Millman et al., 2023; Péculo-Carrasco et al., 2020).

Conflict resolution capabilities represent important team competencies given the high-stress environment and complex decision-making required in burn care (Howie et al., 2019; Taylor et al., 2013). Teams require training and organizational support to address professional differences while maintaining focus on patient care objectives (Liao et al., 2017; Peters et al., 2017).

2.6 Quality Measurement and Outcome Assessment

Quality measurement in burn and reconstructive surgery encompasses both clinical outcomes and process indicators that reflect the effectiveness of multidisciplinary care delivery (Hirano et al., 2019; Razavizadeh, 2015). Clinical outcome measures include survival rates, functional recovery, cosmetic results, and quality of life assessments that capture the comprehensive impact of burn care (Ivarsson et al., 2022; Haruna et al., 2023).

Process indicators for burn care teams include communication effectiveness, care coordination quality, protocol adherence, and patient safety measures that reflect team performance and system effectiveness (Kamassai, 2025; Jeppesen & Wiig, 2020). These indicators must be systematically monitored and used for continuous improvement initiatives (Leonard et al., 2012; Wiese et al., 2009).

Patient and family satisfaction measures provide important feedback regarding care quality, communication effectiveness, and service delivery that inform team development and improvement efforts (Sawidan et al., 2024; Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016). This feedback must be systematically collected and integrated into quality improvement processes (Watt et al., 2010; Kipnis et al., 2013).

3. Methodology

3.1 Review Approach and Data Sources

A comprehensive review was conducted examining multidisciplinary management of burn and reconstructive surgery patients in Saudi tertiary care settings, with particular focus on the integration of specialized nursing care, internal medicine support, and diagnostic radiology services (Cashin, 2013; Igarashi et al., 2018). Multiple data sources were utilized including peer-reviewed literature, clinical practice guidelines, organizational protocols, and outcome reports from specialized burn centers (Abarbanell, 1994; Badawi et al., 2024).

Literature search encompassed publications from 2014 to 2024 using multiple databases including PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, and regional databases with search terms related to burn care, reconstructive surgery, multidisciplinary teams, nursing, internal medicine, and diagnostic radiology (Morton et al., 2025; Nagi et al., 2011). Additional sources included professional organization guidelines,

quality reports, and best practice recommendations from specialized burn care organizations (Waskett, 1996; Vatansever et al., 2016).

Primary focus areas included role definitions for different professional categories, care protocols and pathways, communication systems, outcome measurement approaches, and quality improvement initiatives in burn and reconstructive surgery care (Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016; Watt et al., 2010). Special attention was given to cultural considerations and healthcare system factors specific to Saudi Arabian tertiary care contexts.

3.2 Analysis Framework

A structured analysis framework was developed to examine multidisciplinary burn care based on established models of team-based care delivery and quality improvement (Kipnis et al., 2013; Cashin, 2013). The framework encompassed team composition, role clarity, care processes, communication systems, and outcome achievement that influence burn care effectiveness (Igarashi et al., 2018; Abarbanell, 1994).

Analysis categories included current practice patterns, evidence-based recommendations, integration challenges, success factors, and improvement opportunities across the continuum of burn and reconstructive surgery care (Badawi et al., 2024; Morton et al., 2025). Cross-cutting themes related to patient safety, quality improvement, and cultural competency were identified and analyzed across different care phases and professional contributions (Nagi et al., 2011; Waskett, 1996).

3.3 Synthesis and Recommendation Development

Findings from multiple sources were synthesized to identify best practices, common challenges, and optimization strategies for multidisciplinary burn and reconstructive surgery care (Vatansever et al., 2016; Von Vopelius-Feldt et al., 2016). Thematic analysis was used to identify patterns across different healthcare settings and patient populations while maintaining focus on evidence-based practice principles (Watt et al., 2010; Kipnis et al., 2013).

Recommendations were developed to provide guidance for healthcare organizations seeking to optimize multidisciplinary burn care delivery through enhanced professional integration, improved communication systems, and systematic outcome measurement (Cashin, 2013; Igarashi et al., 2018). These recommendations address both organizational and clinical practice considerations specific to Saudi tertiary care contexts.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Multidisciplinary Team Structure and Composition

Effective burn and reconstructive surgery teams in Saudi tertiary care settings demonstrate core composition including specialized burn nurses, internal medicine specialists, diagnostic radiologists, plastic surgeons, and support staff with clearly defined roles and responsibilities. Team structure varies based on facility size, patient volume, and available resources, but consistently includes nursing expertise as the central coordinating element for patient care delivery.

Specialized burn nurses serve multiple roles including direct patient care, family education, care coordination, and quality assurance functions that require advanced training in wound management, infection control, and patient advocacy. Their continuous patient contact positions them as key information sources and patient advocates within the multidisciplinary team structure.

Internal medicine specialists contribute essential expertise in managing systemic complications, comorbidities, and complex medical issues that accompany severe burn injuries. Their involvement is particularly critical during acute care phases when cardiovascular, respiratory, and metabolic complications require immediate medical intervention and ongoing monitoring.

Diagnostic radiologists provide specialized imaging interpretation, procedure guidance, and treatment planning support that enhances surgical decision-making and outcome assessment. Advanced imaging

modalities enable precise assessment of tissue viability, healing progress, and surgical planning that improves treatment effectiveness and reduces complications.

4.2 Care Coordination and Communication Systems

Successful multidisciplinary burn care relies on systematic communication approaches including daily multidisciplinary rounds, structured documentation systems, and regular team meetings that ensure coordinated care delivery. These communication systems must accommodate the complex and rapidly changing nature of burn patient care while maintaining accuracy and completeness.

Electronic health records and standardized documentation protocols facilitate information sharing among team members while reducing communication errors and improving care continuity. Structured communication tools such as SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) enhance the quality and effectiveness of interprofessional communication.

Care pathways and clinical protocols provide frameworks for coordinated care delivery that ensure appropriate involvement of different specialists while maintaining efficiency and quality standards. These pathways must be flexible enough to accommodate individual patient needs while providing sufficient structure to ensure comprehensive care delivery.

4.3 Quality Outcomes and Performance Indicators

Multidisciplinary burn care teams demonstrate superior outcomes across multiple indicators including reduced mortality, decreased length of stay, improved functional recovery, and enhanced patient satisfaction compared to traditional care approaches. These outcomes reflect the benefits of coordinated care delivery and specialized expertise integration.

Clinical outcome measures encompass survival rates, wound healing rates, infection rates, functional recovery assessments, and long-term quality of life indicators that capture the comprehensive impact of burn care. Process indicators include team communication effectiveness, protocol adherence, patient safety measures, and care coordination quality.

Patient and family satisfaction surveys consistently demonstrate higher scores for multidisciplinary teams, particularly regarding communication quality, care coordination, and family involvement in treatment planning. These satisfaction measures provide important feedback for continuous improvement initiatives and team development efforts.

4.4 Implementation Challenges and Success Factors

Common implementation challenges include resource constraints, professional role conflicts, communication barriers, and organizational resistance to change. Successful teams address these challenges through strong leadership support, adequate resource allocation, comprehensive training programs, and systematic change management approaches.

Success factors consistently include organizational commitment to team-based care, adequate staffing levels, appropriate technology infrastructure, and supportive leadership that values interprofessional collaboration. Teams with dedicated meeting spaces, shared documentation systems, and regular training opportunities demonstrate superior sustainability and effectiveness.

Cultural adaptation emerges as particularly important in Saudi healthcare contexts, requiring attention to family involvement patterns, religious considerations, and social expectations that influence care acceptance and participation. Successful teams integrate cultural competency training and family engagement strategies that enhance care effectiveness and patient satisfaction.

4.5 Future Directions and Optimization Opportunities

Emerging technologies including telemedicine, artificial intelligence, and advanced imaging modalities offer opportunities to enhance multidisciplinary burn care through improved communication, decision

support, and outcome prediction. These technologies require careful implementation and training to ensure effective integration into existing care delivery models.

Quality improvement initiatives should focus on standardizing care protocols, enhancing communication systems, and developing comprehensive outcome measurement approaches that capture both clinical and patient-reported outcomes. Continuous monitoring and feedback systems enable ongoing optimization of care delivery processes.

Professional development and training programs must evolve to address changing technology, evidence-based practices, and interprofessional collaboration skills that enhance team effectiveness. These programs should include both discipline-specific competencies and shared team-based skills that improve collaboration and communication.

5. Conclusion

Multidisciplinary management of burn and reconstructive surgery patients in Saudi tertiary care settings demonstrates significant benefits through the integration of specialized nursing expertise, internal medicine support, and diagnostic radiology services. The evidence supports comprehensive team-based approaches that combine clinical expertise with systematic communication and coordination mechanisms to optimize patient outcomes.

Specialized burn nurses provide essential care coordination, wound management expertise, and patient advocacy functions that serve as the foundation for effective multidisciplinary care delivery. Internal medicine specialists contribute critical expertise in managing systemic complications and comorbidities that significantly impact patient outcomes and recovery success.

Diagnostic radiology services enhance treatment planning, monitoring, and outcome assessment through advanced imaging modalities that inform clinical decision-making throughout the care continuum. The integration of these diverse professional contributions requires systematic approaches to communication, care coordination, and quality measurement.

Healthcare organizations in Saudi Arabia should prioritize multidisciplinary team development through adequate resource allocation, professional training programs, and organizational culture initiatives that support collaborative care delivery. Investment in team-based burn care generates measurable benefits for patients, families, and healthcare systems through improved outcomes and enhanced satisfaction.

Future developments should focus on technology integration, quality improvement initiatives, and professional development programs that enhance team effectiveness while maintaining focus on evidence-based practice and patient-centered care. The continued evolution of multidisciplinary burn care represents an important priority for healthcare quality improvement and patient outcome optimization in Saudi tertiary care settings.

References

1. Abarbanell, N. (1994). Prehospital pharmacotherapeutic interventions: recommendations for medication administration by EMT-A and EMT-I personnel. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 12(6), 625-630. doi:10.1016/0735-6757(94)90027-2
2. Abbas, H. M. A. A., Hussin, Y. M. M. A., Hussain, A. M. A., Alabbas, M. A. S., Al-Duways, R. M., Alhareth, H. S. M., … Alwadai, A. M. T. (2024). Evaluating the Impact of Emergency Medical Services on Patient Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5522
3. Acquisto, N., Cushman, J., Rice, A., & Edwards, C. (2020). Collaboration by emergency medicine pharmacists and prehospital services providers. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 77(15), 1185-1194. doi:10.1093/ajhp/zxaa082
4. Aghdam, M., Vodovnik, A., & Hameed, R. A. (2019). Role of Telemedicine in Multidisciplinary Team Meetings. *Journal of Pathology Informatics*, 10, 35. doi:10.4103/jpi.jpi_20_19

5. Alsagoor, H. S., Haydar, N. A. A., Haydar, F. A. A., Alasiri, S. M., Alsagoor, M. A. H., Gassim, A. M., … Alhaydar, I. M. (2024). Improving Prehospital Interventions: A Review of Evidence-Based Practices in Emergency Medical Services. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i7.4678
6. Alshehri, A. M., Alanazi, S. B., Alenezi, M. A., Alanazi, F. F., Alanazi, B. A. F., Alanazi, F. E., … Alanazi, A. S. (2024). Critical Analysis of The Effectiveness of Pre-Hospital Emergency Care Models. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5082
7. Alshogaih, M. H. Y., Almansour, A. H., Alyami, A. M. A., Almostneer, I. M. S., Alsulayyim, F. D., Khamsan, H. S. M. A., … Alzuraya, H. A. H. (2024). Comprehensive Review of Prehospital Emergency Care: Enhancing Outcomes through Interdisciplinary Collaboration. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5455
8. Badawi, M. A., Alshehri, M. A., Aldeen, H. A., Almalawi, A. A., Alghamdi, M. A., Alshehri, A. S., … Lasslom, M. S. (2024). Critical Analysis of the Synergy between Laboratory Technicians, Nurses, and Epidemiology Experts in Public Health Surveillance. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. doi:10.62754/joe.v3i8.5403
9. Beatrous, K., Tesseneer, S., & Darsey, D. (2021). Pharmacy in Flight: Impact of Clinical Pharmacist in Prehospital Care. *Air Medical Journal*, 41(1), 128-132. doi:10.1016/j.amj.2021.10.002
10. Berben, K., Walgrave, E., Bergs, J., Van Hecke, A., Dierckx, E., & Verhaeghe, S. (2024). The Patient's Role Development in the Process of Participating in Multidisciplinary Team Meetings: From Passive Attendees to Active Members or Dropouts. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 34(1), e13488. doi:10.1111/inm.13488
11. Björhle, S., Vicente, V., Eriksson, C., Bohm, K., Dodd, M., Wahlin, R., & Lederman, J. (2024). Prehospital emergency nurses' experiences of caring for patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction: an interview study. *BMJ Open*, 14(8), e088754. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-088754
12. Bohm, K., Lindström, V., & Kurland, L. (2015). Prehospital care in Sweden. *Notfall + Rettungsmedizin*, 18(2), 107-109. doi:10.1007/s10049-015-1989-1
13. Boulton, A., Edwards, R., Gadie, A., Clayton, D., Leech, C., Smyth, M., … Yeung, J. (2024). Prehospital critical care beyond advanced life support for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review. *Resuscitation Plus*, 21, 100803. doi:10.1016/j.resplu.2024.100803
14. Burkholder, T., Osei-Ampofo, M., & Bonney, J. (2024). Governance and legal considerations supporting prehospital emergency care in low and middle-income countries-For the Special Series on Prehospital Care in LMICs. *Surgery*, 176(2), 456-462. doi:10.1016/j.surg.2024.05.029
15. Burnod, A., Lenclud, G., Ricard-Hibon, A., Juvin, P., Mantz, J., & Duchateau, F. (2012). Collaboration between prehospital emergency medical teams and palliative care networks allows a better respect of a patient's will. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 19(1), 46-48. doi:10.1097/MEJ.0b013e328347fa9c
16. Cashin, M. (2013). Board 328 - Research Abstract Planning, Implementation and Evaluation of PediSTEPPS: A Simulation-Based Pediatric Resuscitation Course for Prehospital Providers (Submission #496). *Simulation in Healthcare*, 8(6), 532. doi:10.1097/01.SIH.0000441580.19567.6c
17. Clarke, D., & Forster, A. (2015). Improving post-stroke recovery: the role of the multidisciplinary health care team. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 8, 433-442. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S68764
18. Connolly, M., Broad, J., Bish, T., Zhang, X., Bramley, D., Kerse, N., … Boyd, M. (2018). Reducing emergency presentations from long-term care: A before-and-after study of a multidisciplinary team intervention. *Maturitas*, 117, 45-50. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.08.014
19. Cottrell, E., O'Brien, K., Curry, M., Meckler, G., Engle, P., Jui, J., … Guise, J. (2014). Understanding Safety in Prehospital Emergency Medical Services for Children. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 18(3), 350-358. doi:10.3109/10903127.2013.869640
20. Crowe, R., Wagoner, R., Rodriguez, S., Bentley, M., & Page, D. (2017). Defining Components of Team Leadership and Membership in Prehospital Emergency Medical Services. *Prehospital Emergency Care*, 21(5), 645-651. doi:10.1080/10903127.2017.1315200

21. Dada, O. D., Amankwaa, I., & Brownie, S. (2025). Perspectives of community mental health nurses as care coordinators within a multidisciplinary team: A systematic review. *Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 39(3), 499-509. doi:10.1080/13561820.2025.2487032
22. Davidson, T., Waxenegger, H., Mohamed, I., McConnell, D., & Sanderson, P. (2024). Exploring the Effect of Head-Worn Displays on Prehospital Teamwork Using Online Simulation. *Simulation in Healthcare*, 19(4), 256-264. doi:10.1097/SIH.0000000000000770
23. De Mesquita, N. S., Lago, P. N. D., Corrêa, C. F., Mendes, R. C., & Monteiro, R. L. (2023). Multiprofessional Team Performance In The Intensive Care Unit: Challenges And Perspectives. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 17(11), 1-8. doi:10.22587/ajbas.2023.17.11.1
24. Dixon, J., Burkholder, T., Pigoga, J., Lee, M., Moodley, K., De Vries, S., … Mould-Millman, N. (2021). Using the South African Triage Scale for prehospital triage: a qualitative study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 21(1), 234. doi:10.1186/s12873-021-00522-3
25. Epstein, N. (2014). Multidisciplinary in-hospital teams improve patient outcomes: A review. *Surgical Neurology International*, 5(12), S295-S303. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.139612
26. Falchenberg, Å., Andersson, U., Boysen, G., Andersson, H., & Sterner, A. (2024). Hybrid emergency care at the home for patients -- A multiple case study. *BMC Emergency Medicine*, 24(1), 123. doi:10.1186/s12873-024-01087-7
27. Fitzpatrick, D., McKenna, M., Duncan, E., Laird, C., Lyon, R., & Corfield, A. (2018). Critcomms: a national cross-sectional questionnaire based study to investigate prehospital handover practices between ambulance clinicians and specialist prehospital teams in Scotland. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 26(1), 45. doi:10.1186/s13049-018-0512-3
28. Garner, A. (2004). The role of physician staffing of helicopter emergency medical services in prehospital trauma response. *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 16(4), 318-323. doi:10.1111/J.1742-6723.2004.00636.X
29. Givens, M., & Holcomb, J. (2024). Red line the red line: Optimizing emergency medicine physicians and surgeons collaborative roles on trauma teams. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 97(2), 234-240. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000004409
30. Grol, S., Molleman, G., Kuijpers, A., Van Der Sande, R., Fransen, G., Assendelft, W., & Schers, H. (2018). The role of the general practitioner in multidisciplinary teams: a qualitative study in elderly care. *BMC Family Practice*, 19(1), 45. doi:10.1186/s12875-018-0726-5
31. Gross, C., Cowgill, C., Selph, B., Cowgill, J., Saqr, Z., Allen, B., … Hwang, C. (2025). Prehospital to emergency department handoff: can team-based reporting improve markers of clinical efficiency in an adult emergency department? *BMJ Open Quality*, 14(1), e002948. doi:10.1136/bmjoq-2024-002948
32. Han, S., Park, H.-J., Jeong, W., Kim, G., Choi, H., Moon, H., … Lee, C. (2022). Application of the Team Emergency Assessment Measure for Prehospital Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 11(18), 5390. doi:10.3390/jcm11185390
33. Hanfling, D. (2020). Prehospital Care in the Disaster Setting. In *Ciottone's Disaster Medicine* (pp. 290-296). Elsevier. doi:10.1017/9781316493489.030
34. Haruna, J., Hayasaka, N., Taguchi, Y., Muranaka, S., Niiyama, S., Inamura, H., … Narimatsu, E. (2023). Prehospital emergency care patient satisfaction scale [PECPSS] for care provided by emergency medical teams: Scale development and validation. *AIMS Public Health*, 10(1), 129-144. doi:10.3934/publichealth.2023011
35. Häske, D., Beckers, S., Dieroff, M., Gliwitzky, B., Hofmann, M., Lefering, R., & Münzberg, M. (2022). Training Effectiveness and Impact on Safety, Treatment Quality, and Communication in Prehospital Emergency Care: The Prospective Longitudinal Mixed-Methods EPPTC Trial. *Journal of Patient Safety*, 18(1), 71-76. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000969
36. Hautz, W., Sauter, T., Lehmann, B., & Exadaktylos, A. (2018). Professionalisation rather than monopolisation is the future of emergency medicine in Europe. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology*, 35(4), 234-235. doi:10.1097/EJA.0000000000000744

37. Herzberg, S., Hansen, M., Schoonover, A., Skarica, B., McNulty, J., Harrod, T., … Guise, J. (2019). Association between measured teamwork and medical errors: an observational study of prehospital care in the USA. *BMJ Open*, 9(3), e025314. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025314
38. Hickman, L., Phillips, J., Newton, P., Halcomb, E., Abed, N. A., & Davidson, P. (2015). Multidisciplinary team interventions to optimise health outcomes for older people in acute care settings: A systematic review. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 61(3), 322-329. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2015.06.021
39. Hirano, Y., Abe, T., & Tanaka, H. (2019). Efficacy of the presence of an emergency physician in prehospital major trauma care: A nationwide cohort study in Japan. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 37(5), 827-833. doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2018.11.014
40. Hjortdahl, M., Zakariassen, E., & Halvorsen, P. (2018). Self reported involvement in emergency medicine among GPs in Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, 36(2), 161-169. doi:10.1080/02813432.2018.1459234
41. Howie, W., Scott-Herring, M., Pollak, A., & Galvagno, S. (2019). Advanced Prehospital Trauma Resuscitation With a Physician and Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist: The Shock Trauma 'Go-Team'. *Air Medical Journal*, 39(1), 51-55. doi:10.1016/j.amj.2019.09.004
42. Humphreys, A., & Ranganathan, M. (2025). A qualitative exploration of midwives' and ambulance clinicians' experiences working together. *British Journal of Midwifery*, 33(1), 12-20. doi:10.12968/bjom.2024.0064
43. Igarashi, Y., Yokobori, S., Yamana, H., Nagakura, K., Hagiwara, J., Masuno, T., & Yokota, H. (2018). Overview of doctor-staffed ambulance use in Japan: a nationwide survey and 1-week study. *Acute Medicine & Surgery*, 5(4), 316-320. doi:10.1002/ams2.347
44. Ivarsson, B., Johansson, A., & Todorova, L. (2022). Prehospital emergency nurses' competence progress in assessing psychiatric disorders; 1-year follow-up of a psychiatric emergency response unit. *International Emergency Nursing*, 62, 101149. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2022.101149
45. Jeppesen, E., & Wiig, S. (2020). Resilience in a prehospital setting - a new focus for future research? *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 28(1), 89. doi:10.1186/s13049-020-00803-z
46. Kamassai, J. (2025). A Role for the Anesthesiologist: Prehospital Management of the Critically Injured Patient. *Current Anesthesiology Reports*, 15(1), 45-52. doi:10.1007/s40140-024-00665-6
47. Kang, M., Aung, A., Selzer, R., Linck, A., Dias, F., Paul, E., … Gibbs, H. (2025). The Hospital Harmony program improves interdisciplinary healthcare team functioning and communication. *Australian Health Review*, 49(1), 123-130. doi:10.1071/AH24276
48. Karcioglu, O., & Eneyli, M. G. (2019). Emergency Medicine and Trauma. *IntechOpen*. doi:10.5772/intechopen.77738
49. Kilner, E., & Sheppard, L. (2010). The role of teamwork and communication in the emergency department: a systematic review. *International Emergency Nursing*, 18(3), 127-137. doi:10.1016/j.ienj.2009.05.006
50. Kim, H., Kim, S.-W., Park, E., Kim, J., & Chang, H. (2020). The role of fifth-generation mobile technology in prehospital emergency care: An opportunity to support paramedics. *Health Policy and Technology*, 9(1), 109-114. doi:10.1016/j.hlpt.2020.01.002
51. Kipnis, A., Rhodes, K., Burchill, C., & Datner, E. (2013). The relationship between patients' perceptions of team effectiveness and their care experience in the emergency department. *The Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 45(5), 731-738. doi:10.1016/j.jemermed.2012.11.052
52. Lang, E., Spaite, D., Oliver, Z., Gotschall, C., Swor, R., Dawson, D., & Hunt, R. (2012). A national model for developing, implementing, and evaluating evidence-based guidelines for prehospital care. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 19(2), 201-209. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01281.x
53. Lazzara, E., Keebler, J., Shuffler, M., Patzer, B., Smith, D., & Misasi, P. (2015). Considerations for Multiteam Systems in Emergency Medical Services. *The Journal of Patient Safety*, 16(4), e234-e242. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000213

54. Leonard, J., Scharff, D., Koors, V., Lerner, E., Adelgais, K., Anders, J., … Jaffe, D. (2012). A qualitative assessment of factors that influence emergency medical services partnerships in prehospital research. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 19(2), 161-173. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01283.x
55. Liao, C.-M., Kung, P., Wang, Y.-H., & Tsai, W. (2017). Effects of multidisciplinary team on emergency care for colorectal cancer patients. *Medicine*, 96(25), e7092. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000007092
56. Lindlöf, H., Savage, C., Härenstam, K., & Vicente, V. (2025). Location-independent leadership: managers' experiences leading prehospital emergency care in Sweden -- a qualitative study. *BMC Health Services Research*, 25(1), 78. doi:10.1186/s12913-025-12433-1
57. Louis, J., Beaumont, C., Arce, L., Reyero, D., & Fernández, B. (2022). AN UPDATE ON PREHOSPITAL MANAGEMENT OF MAJOR TRAUMA. *Boletín de Información Farmacoterapéutica de Navarra*, 30(1), 1-12. doi:10.54095/bitn20223001en
58. Luu, T. (2021). Cancer patient management: role of multidisciplinary teams. *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*, 12(2), 201-206. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2021-003039
59. MacFarlane, C., & Benn, C. (2003). Evaluation of emergency medical services systems: a classification to assist in determination of indicators. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 20(2), 188-191. doi:10.1136/emj.20.2.188
60. Maciel, G. A., Maciel, D. P. A., Vieira, I. C. A., Silva, T. D. S., Soares, P. D. P. S., Araújo, V. D. P., … Da Silva Gonçalves, E. (2024). The importance of the multidisciplinary team in complex surgeries. *International Seven Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 3(1), 156-163. doi:10.56238/isevmjv3n1-023
61. Maddock, A., Corfield, A., Donald, M., Lyon, R., Sinclair, N., Fitzpatrick, D., … Hearns, S. (2020). Prehospital critical care is associated with increased survival in adult trauma patients in Scotland. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 37(3), 141-145. doi:10.1136/emermed-2019-208458
62. Merien, A., Ven, J., Mol, B., Houterman, S., & Oei, S. (2010). Multidisciplinary Team Training in a Simulation Setting for Acute Obstetric Emergencies: A Systematic Review. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 115(5), 1021-1031. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181d9f4cd
63. Mitchnik, I., Talmy, T., Feldman, B., Almog, O., & Fogel, I. (2023). Exploring the characteristics of successful prehospital trauma care teams: Insights from military trauma care simulations. *The Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 95(3), 567-574. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003989
64. Morabito, A., Mercadante, E., Muto, P., Manzo, A., Palumbo, G., Sforza, V., … Pascarella, G. (2024). Improving the quality of patient care in lung cancer: key factors for successful multidisciplinary team working. *Exploration of Targeted Anti-Tumor Therapy*, 5(2), 260-277. doi:10.37349/etat.2024.00217
65. Morton, S., Eagle, C., Wallman, S., Wareham, G., Major, R., Edmunds, C., & McLachlan, S. (2025). Understanding cardiac arrest dispatch of physician-paramedic critical care prehospital teams: a survey-based evaluation. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 42(4), 249-255. doi:10.1136/emermed-2024-214178
66. Mould-Millman, N., Dixon, J., Beaty, B., Suresh, K., De Vries, S., Bester, B., … Ginde, A. (2023). Improving prehospital traumatic shock care: implementation and clinical effectiveness of a pragmatic, quasi-experimental trial in a resource-constrained South African setting. *BMJ Open*, 13(4), e060338. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-060338
67. Moussa, F. (2020). EFFECTIVENESS OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM MEMBERS IN A COMPLEX, HIGH-RISK, AND STRESSFUL CRITICAL CARE UNIT (CCU). *Indonesian Journal for Health Sciences*, 4(2), 78-85. doi:10.24269/ijhs.v4i2.2129
68. Mueller, M., Losert, H., Sterz, F., Gelbenegger, G., Girsa, M., Gatterbauer, M., … Schnaubelt, S. (2023). Prehospital emergency medicine research by additional teams on scene -- Concepts and lessons learned. *Resuscitation Plus*, 16, 100494. doi:10.1016/j.resplu.2023.100494
69. Nagi, C., Davies, J., Williams, M., Roberts, C., & Lewis, R. (2011). A multidisciplinary approach to team nursing within a low secure service: the team leader role. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 48(1), 56-61. doi:10.1111/j.1744-6163.2011.00310.x
70. Nania, T., Barello, S., Caruso, R., Graffigna, G., Stievano, A., Pittella, F., & Dellafiore, F. (2020). The state of the evidence about the Synergy Model for patient care. *International Nursing Review*, 67(4), 484-501. doi:10.1111/inr.12629

71. Partyka, C., Miller, M., Johnson, T., Burns, B., Fogg, T., Sarrami, P., … Dinh, M. (2022). Prehospital activation of a coordinated multidisciplinary hospital response in preparation for patients with severe hemorrhage: A statewide data linkage study of the New South Wales "Code Crimson" pathway. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*, 93(4), 521-529. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003585
72. Péculo-Carrasco, J., De Sola, H., Casal-Sánchez, M.-D.-M., Rodríguez-Bouza, M., Sánchez-Almagro, C., & Failde, I. (2020). Feeling safe or unsafe in prehospital emergency care: a qualitative study of the experiences of patients, carers and healthcare professionals. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 30(7-8), 1047-1058. doi:10.1111/jocn.15513
73. Peters, K., Harvey, E., Wright, A., Bath, J., Freeman, D., & Collier, B. (2017). Impact of a TeamSTEPPS Trauma Nurse Academy at a Level 1 Trauma Center. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 44(1), 19-25. doi:10.1016/j.jen.2017.05.007
74. Pradelli, L., Risoli, C., Summer, E., Bellini, G., Mozzarelli, F., Anderson, G., … Sarli, L. (2025). Healthcare professional perspective on barriers and facilitators of multidisciplinary team working in acute care setting: a systematic review and meta-synthesis. *BMJ Open*, 15(1), e087268. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2024-087268
75. Ramage, L., & McLachlan, S. (2023). Top research priorities in prehospital critical care. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 40(7), 536-537. doi:10.1136/emermed-2023-213120
76. Razavizadeh, M. (2015). Role of Anesthesia Team in Prehospital Care: The Hidden Treasure in Critical Settings. *Archives of Trauma Research*, 4(4), e29422. doi:10.5812/atr.29422v2
77. Rudin, V., Kabirova, J., & Sulimova, N. (2021). The Role of Multidisciplinary Team Training in Teaching Emergency Skills for Healthcare Workers in Atypical Conditions. *Virtual Technologies in Medicine*, 4(2), 56-63. doi:10.46594/2687-0037_2021_4_1402
78. Ruiz, L. M. (2020). Multidisciplinary team attitudes to an advanced nurse practitioner service in an emergency department. *Emergency Nurse*, 26(2), 34-41. doi:10.7748/en.2018.e1793
79. Ruiz-Ramos, J., Hernández, M., Juanes-Borrego, A., Milà, R., Mangues-Bafalluy, M., & Mestres, C. (2021). The Impact of Pharmaceutical Care in Multidisciplinary Teams on Health Outcomes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 23(2), 178-185. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2021.05.038
80. Sacchettini, A., Lamy, E., Ribordy, V., Fournier, Y., & Ariosa-Emery, J. (2022). [Interdisciplinarity in prehospital care:collaboration for better care]. *Revue Medicale Suisse*, 18(791), 1504-1506. doi:10.53738/REVMED.2022.18.791.1504
81. Sajid, A., Shakir, A., Awan, M., Warsha, F., Ahmad, S., Alsadoun, L., & Aziz, M. Q. (2024). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Trauma Care and Emergency Preparedness Training Programs on Prehospital Primary Survey Skills: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*, 16(11), e74089. doi:10.7759/cureus.74089