Public Administration in South Africa: Repositioning for Effective Governance and Development

¹Dorasamy Nirmala, ²Kapesa Tonderai*

¹Department of Public Management and Economics, Faculty of Economics, Durban University of Technology, Greyville, Durban, 4001, South Africa, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8964-7825
²Department of Public Management and Economics, Faculty of Economics, Durban University of Technology, Greyville, Durban, 4001, South Africa, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5637-3047
*Corresponding author: tonykap09@gmail.com

Abstract: This study examines the critical need to reposition public administration in South Africa to enhance governance effectiveness and drive sustainable development outcomes. The paper traces the historical legacies of colonialism and apartheid that have deeply shaped the country's public administration system, characterizing it by racial discrimination, systemic marginalization, and inefficient bureaucratic structures. The transition to democracy in 1994 marked a pivotal juncture, catalysing efforts to reform public administration and align it with the principles of democracy, equality, and accountability. However, the legacy of the past continues to cast a long shadow, manifesting in persistent challenges such as corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, resource constraints, and service delivery bottlenecks. Drawing on a theoretical framework encompassing New Public Management, Collaborative Governance, and Historical Institutionalism, the study undertakes a comprehensive analysis of the historical context, current challenges, and international best practices to propose actionable strategies for repositioning South Africa's public administration. Key focus areas include strengthening institutional frameworks, enhancing leadership capacity, promoting transparency and accountability, and fostering citizen-centric service delivery. The study concludes that realigning public administration with the broader development goals and aspirations of South Africa is crucial for fostering inclusive growth, social equity, and sustainable development. By addressing the historical legacies and contemporary complexities, this research aims to contribute to the discourse on governance transformation and the pivotal role of public administration in shaping the country's future.

Keywords : Public Administration, Historical Legacies, Democratic Governance, Corruption, Citizen-Centric Service Delivery, South Africa

1.   Introduction

The institutionalization of the apartheid regime from 1948 to 1994, was underpinned by racial discrimination and segregation. Public administration during this period was deeply entrenched by laws, policies and practices designed to advantages certain races, resulting in systemic marginalization and oppression of the black population in South Africa. The dismantling of an oppressive apartheid regime in South Africa in 1994 marked a critical turning point, bringing about the introduction of democratic governance and a more inclusive society based on non- discrimination.

South Africa's public administration has been deeply influenced by its colonial past and the apartheid era. Under apartheid, the state apparatus was used to enforce racial segregation and discrimination, resulting

in a highly unequal and inefficient administrative system. The end of apartheid marked a new beginning for public administration, characterized by the principles of democracy, equality, and accountability.

The new democratic government, led by the African National Congress (ANC), initiated a process of reform, aimed at dismantling the apartheid system through the adoption of a new constitution; the establishment of democratic institutions; and the implementation of affirmative action and black economic empowerment policies. Such a paradigm shift spearheaded fundamental changes in South Africa's public administration system, providing the avenue for shaping the governance landscape and driving development outcomes in South Africa. This underscored the urgent need for repositioning the public sector, so that a process of reform and transformation may be advanced. As such, public administration in a post-apartheid South Africa has been a crucial aspect of the nation's journey towards democracy; fairness; equality; and inclusive growth and development. However, the legacy of apartheid has not been totally dismantled, and continues to shape the contours of the public administration milieu in South Africa, presenting both opportunities and challenges for transformation and reform.

1.1. Background of the Study

Public administration in South Africa stands at the crossroads of historical legacies and contemporary challenges, exerting a profound influence on the country's governance structures and development pathways. This section delves into the intricate tapestry of South Africa's public administration landscape, tracing its evolution from the shadows of colonialism and apartheid to the complexities of the post-apartheid era. By unravelling the historical threads and illuminating the current challenges, this study embarks on a journey to explore repositioning strategies aimed at enhancing the efficacy of governance in South Africa.

1.2. Historical Context: Legacy of Colonialism and Apartheid

South Africa's public administration heritage bears the indelible marks of its colonial past, where administrative systems were designed to serve the interests of colonial powers rather than the indigenous populations. The legacy of colonialism left a legacy of bureaucratic structures and practices that perpetuated inequalities, marginalized certain population groups, and entrenched hierarchical power dynamics within the administration.

The apartheid era further deepened these divides, institutionalizing segregation, discrimination, and oppressive governance mechanisms. The apartheid regime wielded public administration as a tool for social engineering, enacting laws and policies that systematically excluded the majority of the population from meaningful participation in governance and decision-making processes. The apartheid legacy continues to reverberate in contemporary public administration challenges, manifesting in persistent socio-economic disparities, institutionalized inequalities, and trust deficits between the state and its citizens.

1.3. Transition to Democracy: Reform and Renewal

The dawn of democracy in 1994 heralded a new era of hope and transformation in South Africa, catalysing efforts to dismantle the apartheid legacy and build a more inclusive and accountable public administration system. The transition to democracy necessitated a comprehensive overhaul of administrative structures, policies, and practices to align with the principles of equality, non-discrimination, and participatory governance. The post-apartheid reforms sought to democratize public administration, decentralize decision-making processes, and promote transparency and responsiveness in service delivery.

While significant progress has been made in the post-apartheid period, challenges persist in reconciling the historical injustices of the past with the imperatives of a democratic and developmental state. The legacy of apartheid continues to cast a long shadow over public administration, shaping the contours of governance effectiveness, service delivery efficiency, and citizen-state relations. Addressing these historical inequities and transforming public administration into a vehicle for inclusive and sustainable development remains a pressing agenda for South Africa's governance landscape.

1.4. Contemporary Challenges: Navigating Complexities

In the contemporary context, South Africa's public administration grapples with a myriad of challenges that impact its ability to effectively govern and facilitate development. Corruption, bureaucratic inefficiencies, resource constraints, and service delivery bottlenecks pose formidable obstacles to the realization of governance objectives and development aspirations. Corruption, in particular, undermines the integrity of public institutions, erodes public trust, and diverts essential resources away from critical service delivery priorities.

Bureaucratic inefficiencies and administrative bottlenecks impede the efficient and equitable provision of public services, hindering the state's capacity to address pressing socio-economic needs and promote inclusive development. Resource constraints further strain the fiscal capacity of the government, limiting its ability to invest in infrastructure, human capital, and social services essential for sustainable development outcomes. These challenges underscore the imperative for repositioning strategies that enhance the effectiveness, efficiency, and accountability of public administration in South Africa.

1.5. Setting the Stage: Repositioning for Effective Governance

Against the backdrop of historical legacies and contemporary challenges, the study's focus on repositioning strategies for effective governance in South Africa emerges as a critical imperative. By interrogating the historical antecedents, acknowledging the present complexities, and envisioning a future-oriented approach, the study seeks to chart a course towards transformative change in public administration. Repositioning public administration entails a paradigm shift towards transparency, accountability, citizen-centricity, and service excellence.

The forthcoming pages will delve deeper into the repositioning strategies, drawing on scholarly insights, empirical evidence, and best practices to propose actionable recommendations for enhancing the governance effectiveness of public administration in South Africa. By elucidating the historical foundations, current challenges, and future trajectories of public administration, the study aims to contribute meaningfully to the discourse on governance and development in the South African context.

1.5.1. Problem Statement

South Africa's public administration faces multifaceted challenges stemming from historical legacies, administrative inefficiencies, and governance gaps that impede effective service delivery and sustainable development. The need to reposition public administration for enhanced governance effectiveness and development outcomes is paramount in addressing these challenges and advancing the country's socio-economic well-being. Therefore, there is a critical imperative to explore repositioning strategies that can reshape public administration practices towards achieving transparency, accountability, and citizen-centric service delivery in South Africa.

1.5.2. Research Objectives

1. To critically analyse the historical context and legacy of colonialism and apartheid on public administration in South Africa.

2. To identify key challenges and shortcomings in the current public administration system that hinder effective governance and development.

3. To examine best practices and lessons learned from public sector reforms and repositioning efforts in other countries for potential application in the South African context.

4. To propose actionable recommendations and repositioning strategies for enhancing governance effectiveness, transparency, and service delivery in South Africa's public administration.

5. To assess the potential impact of repositioning public administration on governance outcomes, citizen engagement, and sustainable development in South Africa.

1.5.3. Research Questions

1. What are the historical legacies of colonialism and apartheid that continue to influence public administration practices in South Africa?

2. What are the key challenges and constraints facing public administration in South Africa in terms of governance effectiveness and service delivery?

3. What lessons can be drawn from successful public sector reforms and repositioning initiatives in other countries that are relevant to repositioning public administration in South Africa?

4. How can effective leadership, capacity building, and institutional reforms contribute to repositioning public administration for improved governance outcomes in South Africa?

5. What repositioning strategies can be proposed to enhance transparency, accountability, and citizen-centric service delivery in South Africa's public administration?

6. What potential impact could reposition public administration have on governance effectiveness, citizen engagement, and sustainable development in South Africa?

7. How can repositioning efforts in public administration align with the broader development goals and aspirations of South Africa to foster inclusive growth and social equity?

2.   Literature Review

The role of public administration in promoting good governance and sustainable development has been a subject of extensive scholarly inquiry, particularly in the context of post-colonial and transitional societies like South Africa. This literature review synthesizes the existing knowledge on the multifaceted challenges facing public administration in South Africa, the impact of historical legacies, and the emerging trends in public sector reforms and repositioning strategies. The literature review starts by presenting a theoretical framework guiding the study which is followed by a review of empirical literature related to the study.

3.   Theoretical Framework

The theoretical underpinnings for this study draw primarily from three complementary perspectives: New Public Management (NPM), Collaborative Governance, and Historical Institutionalism.

3.1.   New Public Management (NPM) Theory

NPM is a public administration paradigm that emphasizes efficiency, performance measurement, and the adoption of private sector management practices (Hood, 1991; Osborne & Gaebler, 1992). NPM advocates for the decentralization of public bureaucracies, the use of market-based mechanisms, and a focus on outputs and outcomes rather than processes (Pollitt & Bouckaert, 2011; Gruening, 2001). This theory is relevant in this study as it can inform strategies for improving the efficiency and responsiveness of South Africa's public administration, which has been criticized for its bureaucratic inefficiencies and resource constraints (Mubangizi & Tshandu, 2019; Nengwekhulu, 2018).

3.2.   Collaborative Governance Theory

Collaborative Governance theory emphasizes the importance of partnerships and shared decision-making between government, civil society, and the private sector (Ansell & Gash, 2007; Emerson et al., 2012). This approach recognizes the need for multi-stakeholder engagement to address complex public problems and enhance service delivery (Emerson & Nabatchi, 2015; Bryson et al., 2015). Collaborative Governance is relevant to the South African context, as it can help bridge the gap between the state and citizens, fostering greater trust and accountability (Adam & Mabunda, 2019; Subban & Theron, 2020).

3.3.   Historical Institutionalism

Historical Institutionalism examines how historical legacies and path dependencies shape contemporary institutional structures and practices (Hall & Taylor, 1996; Thelen, 1999). This theory is particularly relevant in the South African context, as the legacies of colonialism and apartheid continue to influence the dynamics of public administration (Nkosi, 2019; Ntshoe & Selesho, 2017). By understanding the historical context, researchers can better analyse the challenges facing public administration and develop contextualized strategies for repositioning (Thornhill, 2015; Van der Westhuizen, 2017).

The integration of these three theories provides a robust theoretical framework for analysing the multifaceted issues impacting public administration in South Africa and identifying strategies for effective

repositioning towards enhanced governance and development outcomes. Empirical studies related to the study are reviewed in the following sections of the literature review.

3.4. Empirical Studies

3.4.1. Historical Legacies of Colonialism and Apartheid

The historical context of public administration in South Africa is heavily shaped by the legacies of colonialism and the apartheid regime (Subban & Theron, 2020; Van der Westhuizen, 2017). The colonial era laid the foundation for an administrative system that was primarily designed to serve the interests of the colonial power, often at the expense of the indigenous population (Ngraduate, 2018; Reddy, 2016). This legacy manifested in hierarchical structures, discriminatory policies, and the exclusion of marginalized groups from meaningful participation in governance processes.

The works of Pillay et al. (2017) and Maphunye (2018) have also shed light on how the colonial and apartheid past continues to shape bureaucratic structures, power dynamics, and service delivery mechanisms within the public sector. Pillay et al. (2017) underscore the entrenched inequalities and institutionalized discrimination that persist as legacies of the colonial and apartheid eras, highlighting the need for transformative reforms to redress historical injustices and foster inclusive governance.

The apartheid regime further entrenched these inequities, using public administration as a tool for social engineering and the systematic oppression of the majority black population (Nengwekhulu, 2018; Pillay, 2016). The apartheid-era policies and practices led to the institutionalization of racism, the centralization of power, and the erosion of public trust in government institutions (Ntshoe & Selesho, 2017; Thornhill, 2015). These historical legacies continue to reverberate in contemporary public administration, shaping the dynamics of service delivery, resource allocation, and citizen-state relations (Adam & Mabunda, 2019; Maphunye, 2018). Building upon this foundation, a study by Adam and Mabunda (2019) has delved into the implications of historical legacies on contemporary public administration practices, emphasizing the importance of reckoning with the past to inform present governance interventions.

Nkosi (2019) further examines how these historical injustices have left a legacy of mistrust between citizens and state institutions. The administrative inefficiencies, rooted in these historical contexts, continue to hinder the effectiveness of governance. Such entrenched disparities necessitate a critical analysis of how historical legacies influence current administrative practices and citizen engagement. By examining the intersections of history, power, and governance, Adam and Mabunda (2019) advocate for a nuanced understanding of how historical injustices continue to reverberate in administrative processes and service delivery outcomes, offering insights for crafting responsive and equitable public policies.

3.4.2. Challenges in the Current Public Administration System

The challenges facing public administration in South Africa are multifaceted, encompassing issues of corruption, inefficiency, resource constraints, and the need for responsive and citizen-centric service delivery (Manyaka & Sebola, 2015; Surender, 2019). Corruption, in particular, has emerged as a critical concern, undermining the integrity of public institutions and diverting resources away from critical development priorities (Chipkin & Swilling, 2018; Pillay & Kluvers, 2014). Bureaucratic red tape, lack of accountability, and weak oversight mechanisms further exacerbate the challenges of effective governance and service provision (Adam & Mabunda, 2019; Maphunye, 2018).

Moreover, resource constraints, including budgetary limitations and skills gaps, strain the capacity of the public sector to meet the diverse needs of the population (Mubangizi & Tshandu, 2019; Thornhill, 2015). This, in turn, contributes to service delivery bottlenecks, widening inequalities, and a sense of disillusionment among citizens (Pillay et al., 2017; Surender, 2019). Moreover, the Public Service Commission (2021) highlights the persistent issues of skill shortages and inadequate capacity within public institutions, which further complicate service delivery. The need for comprehensive training and capacity-building initiatives is paramount to foster a more effective public administration that can respond to the socio-economic needs of the population. Addressing these multifaceted challenges requires a comprehensive and transformative approach to public administration, one that prioritizes transparency, accountability, and responsive governance.

3.4.3. Lessons from International Best Practices

Examining successful public sector reforms in other countries provides valuable insights for South Africa. Bhalerao (2020) discusses how countries like Rwanda and Singapore have effectively repositioned their public administration systems through innovative governance models that emphasize accountability and citizen engagement. These models highlight the importance of leadership, transparency, and a commitment to responsive governance. Additionally, Osei and Dufour (2022) emphasize the role of e-governance in improving service delivery. By leveraging technology, these countries have enhanced communication between the government and citizens, fostering greater transparency and participation. South Africa can learn from these experiences to adapt similar strategies that align with its unique socio-political landscape.

3.4.4. Public Sector Reforms and Repositioning Strategies

In response to the persistent challenges within the public administration system, scholars and practitioners have advocated for various reform initiatives and repositioning strategies (Nengwekhulu, 2018; Pillay & Kluvers, 2014). These efforts have focused on enhancing leadership capacity, improving service delivery mechanisms, and fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement (Pillay et al., 2017; Subban & Theron, 2020).

Successful public sector reforms in other countries have provided valuable lessons and best practices that could inform the repositioning of public administration in South Africa (Chipkin & Swilling, 2018; Thornhill, 2015). For instance, the adoption of e-governance and digital technologies has shown potential in improving transparency, reducing bureaucratic delays, and enhancing citizen engagement (Mubangizi & Tshandu, 2019; Nengwekhulu, 2018). Additionally, the implementation of performance management systems, decentralization initiatives, and participatory governance approaches have been instrumental in driving institutional transformation and improving service delivery (Adam & Mabunda, 2019; Maphunye, 2018).

To enhance governance effectiveness in South Africa, several actionable strategies need to be considered. Haffajee (2021) proposes a multi-faceted approach that includes strengthening institutional frameworks, enhancing leadership capacity, and promoting a culture of transparency. Effective leadership is critical in driving reforms and fostering an environment conducive to accountability. Whilst Mohale (2022) advocates for the implementation of citizen-centric approaches to service delivery. This involves actively engaging citizens in the decision-making processes and ensuring that their needs and preferences inform public policies. Such participatory governance models can bridge the gap between citizens and the state, fostering mutual trust and collaboration.

Scholars have also emphasized the importance of aligning public sector reforms with the broader developmental goals and aspirations of the country, ensuring that repositioning efforts contribute to sustainable and inclusive growth (Nengwekhulu, 2018; Surender, 2019). This entails a comprehensive understanding of the historical context, the identification of contextually relevant best practices, and the involvement of diverse stakeholders in the reform process (Pillay & Kluvers, 2014; Thornhill, 2015).

3.4.5. Role of Public Sector Reforms

The literature on public administration in South Africa has also delved into the critical role of public sector reform in enhancing service delivery, governance effectiveness, and institutional performance. Pillay et al. (2017) and Maphunye (2018) have underscored the significance of leadership, capacity building, and institutional reforms in strengthening public administration systems and fostering a culture of innovation and efficiency. By examining best practices and case studies of successful reform initiatives, these scholars have articulated a roadmap for driving transformative change in public sector organizations.

Moreover, recent research by Adam and Mabunda (2019) has highlighted the importance of citizen-centric public services in navigating the complexities of socio-economic challenges facing South Africa. By placing citizens at the centre of service delivery mechanisms, Adam and Mabunda (2019) advocate for a paradigm shift towards responsive, participatory, and needs-driven governance approaches that prioritize the welfare and aspirations of the population. This citizen-centric lens underscores the transformative

potential of public sector reform in addressing structural inequalities and advancing sustainable development goals.

3.4.6. Assessing the Impact of Repositioning

Evaluating the potential impact of repositioning public administration on governance outcomes is essential. Pillay and Maphunye (2021) argue that effective repositioning can lead to improved service delivery, increased citizen trust, and enhanced socio-economic development. By analysing case studies of successful reforms, it becomes evident that strategic repositioning can yield significant benefits in governance outcomes. Furthermore, Tshabangu (2020) suggests that repositioning efforts should align with broader development goals, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This alignment can enhance the legitimacy of public institutions while promoting inclusive growth and social equity.

3.4.7. Challenges of Accountability and Transparency

A pivotal area of inquiry in the literature on public administration in South Africa revolves around the challenges of ensuring accountability and transparency in governance processes. Pillay et al. (2017) and Maphunye (2018) have illuminated the complexities of accountability mechanisms, transparency frameworks, and anti-corruption strategies within the public sector. These scholars have underscored the importance of robust governance structures, independent oversight mechanisms, and ethical leadership in fostering accountability and integrity in administrative practices.

In a complementary vein, Adam and Mabunda (2019) have emphasized the need for transparency in decision-making processes, public financial management, and service delivery mechanisms to build trust and confidence in government institutions. By examining the intersections of accountability, transparency, and citizen engagement, Adam and Mabunda (2019) offer insights into enhancing governance effectiveness and combating corruption through open and participatory governance approaches.

3.4.8. Gaps in the Literature and Future Directions

While the existing literature provides valuable insights into the challenges and reform efforts in South African public administration, there are several areas that warrant further exploration. First, the literature could benefit from more in-depth analyses of the intersections between historical legacies, current governance challenges, and the potential impact of repositioning strategies (Maphunye, 2018; Ntshoe & Selesho, 2017). This would enable a more nuanced understanding of the path dependency and context-specific factors that shape the trajectory of public administration reforms.

Additionally, the literature could be enriched by comparative studies that examine the experiences of public sector repositioning in other post-colonial and transitional societies, offering cross-national lessons and best practices (Chipkin & Swilling, 2018; Mubangizi & Tshandu, 2019). Such comparative analyses could shed light on the contextual adaptations required for the successful implementation of repositioning strategies in South Africa.

Furthermore, future research could delve deeper into the role of citizen engagement, participatory governance, and the co-creation of public services in repositioning public administration (Adam & Mabunda, 2019; Subban & Theron, 2020). This would contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the interplay between citizen-state relations, governance effectiveness, and the potential for sustainable development outcomes.

By addressing these gaps and exploring emerging trends in public administration, scholars can provide valuable insights to policymakers, practitioners, and civil society stakeholders, ultimately contributing to the repositioning of public administration in South Africa for enhanced governance and development outcomes.

4. Methodology

The paper uses a combination of document analysis and comparative analysis to conduct the study. These components pf the research methodology is presented in this section of the paper.

4.1. Document Analysis

The document analysis examined relevant government policies, legislation, administrative records, and other official documents related to public administration in South Africa. The analysis aimed to gain insights into the institutional and regulatory environment shaping public administration practices and identify gaps or inconsistencies in the existing governance frameworks.

The relevant documents were identified and collected from government websites, policy repositories, and other reliable sources. The sampling strategy ensured the inclusion of key policy documents, legislation, and administrative records that directly or indirectly influence public administration in South Africa. The selection of documents was guided by the research objectives and the conceptual framework developed from the literature review.

The document analysis employed qualitative techniques, that is, a combination of content analysis and thematic analysis to systematically examine the selected materials. The analysis focused on identifying patterns, trends, and gaps in the institutional and regulatory frameworks governing public administration, as well as the broader socio-political context. Findings from the document analysis were triangulated with the insights from the literature review to provide a comprehensive understanding of the research problem. These findings were used to establish bases for a comparative analysis.

4.2. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis examined public sector reform and repositioning efforts in other countries, particularly those that have faced similar challenges or have implemented successful strategies relevant to the South African context. The analysis aims to identify best practices, lessons learned, and potential strategies that could be adapted for enhancing governance effectiveness and service delivery in South Africa's public administration.

The selection of countries for the comparative analysis was based on factors such as historical legacies, administrative challenges, and successful public sector reform initiatives. Potential countries to be included in the analysis initially included Brazil, India, Malaysia, and other developing or post-colonial nations that have undertaken public administration reforms.

The comparative analysis employed a structured, focused comparison approach, where key variables and themes related to public administration reforms were first identified and systematically analysed across the selected countries. The analysis focused on understanding the contextual factors, reform strategies, implementation challenges, and outcomes of the public sector repositioning efforts in the comparator countries. The findings from the comparative analysis are synthesized to inform the development of actionable recommendations for South Africa's public administration repositioning.

4.3. Study Limitations and Delimitations

The desk research methodology acknowledges the inherent limitations of relying solely on secondary data sources, which may not provide a complete or up-to-date understanding of the research problem. The study is delimited to government documents, and international practices, without the inclusion of primary data collection from stakeholders or field-based observations. The findings from the desk research may not be readily generalizable to other contexts, and the proposed recommendations shall require further validation and refinement through empirical research.

4.4. Presentation and Discussion of Findings

The study was conducted through a combination of documentary analysis and comparative analysis. The documentary analysis was the main methodology for collecting and analysing data for the study. Findings from the documentary analysis were used to guide the subsequent comparative analysis which was meant to derive lessons for establishing recommendations for the study. Results from the documentary analysis are presented and discussed first before presenting and discussing the comparative analysis results.

4.5. Presentation and Analysis of Findings

The document analysis employed a combination of content analysis and thematic analysis to systematically examine the selected materials. The document analysis examined a total of 25 relevant government

policies, legislation, administrative records, and other official documents related to public administration in South Africa. These documents were collected from government websites, policy repositories, and other reliable sources. The sampling strategy ensured the inclusion of key policy documents, legislation, and administrative records that directly or indirectly influence public administration in South Africa. The selection of documents was guided by the research objectives and the conceptual framework developed from the literature review. The documents analysed include the following:

(i)   The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa

(ii)   The Public Service Act

(iii)   The Public Finance Management Act

(iv)   The Batho Pele White Paper on Transforming Public Service Delivery

(v)   National Development Plan 2030

(vi)   Annual reports from the Department of Public Service and Administration

(vii)   Performance audits and oversight reports from the Office of the Auditor-General

The document analysis focused on identifying patterns, trends, and gaps in the institutional and regulatory frameworks governing public administration, as well as the broader socio-political context in the republic of South Africa.

4.6.   Historical Legacies and Institutional Frameworks

The document analysis revealed legacy challenges with the public administration in South Africa. Firstly, there is still colonial and apartheid Influences, the document analysis revealed a deep-rooted legacy of colonialism and apartheid in South Africa's public administration. This legacy manifested in discriminatory policies, unequal resource allocation, and a fragmented administrative structure that continues to impact current governance. As a result, there are still challenges and bottlenecks in public administration emanating from the manifestations of colonial and apartheid tendencies where some races are still more favoured than others in terms of their treatment and provision of service delivery. One apparent manifestation of this historical legacy has been and continues to be spatial and income inequalities, whereby some are more resourced and privileged than others.

The legacy of apartheid continues to reflect inequalities in spatial planning, resulting in enduring disparities in access to basic services such as education, housing, and healthcare. The unequal distribution of resources during apartheid perpetuated socio-economic inequalities, and hindered meaningful social cohesion and reconciliation. Such spatial inequalities require extensive investment efforts   to dismantle spatial inequalities, so that inclusive development may be achieved. Bottlenecks in basic services such as housing, clean water, sanitation and electricity still persist in South Africa, despite progress being made in a post-apartheid South Africa. Enhancing equitable and efficient service delivery requires addressing capacity constraints, promoting coordination between government departments, and prioritizing the needs of vulnerable and marginalized communities. Additionally, South Africa has one of the highest levels of income inequality, which manifests in unequal access to healthcare, education, and economic opportunities. Addressing these spatial and income disparities requires effective public policies, bureaucratic efficiencies, infrastructure, and resource allocation. Such antecedents are challenges still facing South Africa. There are notable differences and gaps between access to services and satisfactory service delivery to all citizens of an independent South Africa.

The rich vs poor divide in South Africa is starkly reflected in the disparate service delivery and public administration experiences of affluent and impoverished communities. Research has consistently shown that wealthy areas, often predominantly white, receive superior services, including healthcare, education, and sanitation, which are often privatized and exclusive (Bond, 2000; Nattrass & Seekings, 2001). In contrast, impoverished communities, largely comprising black Africans, are forced to rely on under-resourced and inefficient public services, exacerbating their socio-economic challenges (Harrison, 2016). For instance, a study by the South African Human Rights Commission found that 84% of households in

informal settlements lacked access to reliable sanitation services, compared to 2% in formal suburban areas (SAHRC, 2017). This divide is further perpetuated by the lack of effective public participation and engagement in decision-making processes, which means that the needs and concerns of the poor are often overlooked or ignored (Friedman, 2011). As a result, the rich vs poor divide in South Africa is not only a reflection of economic inequality, but also a manifestation of systemic injustices and inequalities in service delivery and public administration.

4.7. Post-Apartheid Reforms

While there have been significant post-apartheid reforms aimed to address these historical injustices, the analysis highlighted challenges in fully dismantling the legacy of the past. This included issues of institutional inertia, entrenched power structures, and a lack of effective mechanisms for redress. Progress realised in the post-apartheid are best depicted by the establishment of democratic institutions to champion impartial public administration in the republic of South Africa.

The establishment of democratic institutions such as the Auditor General and Public Protector have strengthened oversight and accountability within the public sector. Further, professionalization within the public service are informed by the establishment of the National School of Government and the Public Service Commission to enhance the professionalism and ethical conduct of public servants. Additionally, various affirmative action and employment equity policies and legislation have been implemented to promote representativity and diversity in the public sector. Despite challenges, democratic institutions have shown adaptability and resilience, thereby providing the foundation for continued reform.

The establishment of democratic institutions in South Africa, such as the Auditor-General and the Public Protector, has significantly enhanced oversight and accountability within the public sector. The Auditor-General's independent audits have improved financial management and transparency, ensuring that public funds are utilized efficiently and effectively (Mafunisa, 2017). Similarly, the Public Protector plays a critical role in investigating maladministration and promoting good governance, thereby empowering citizens to hold public officials accountable (Mkhwebane, 2018). These institutions have fostered a culture of accountability, contributing to a more transparent and responsive government. Their adaptability in the face of political challenges further underscores their resilience and commitment to upholding democratic principles, which provides a solid foundation for ongoing reforms in public administration (Habib, 2013).

In addition to governance reforms, the professionalization of the public service has been supported by the establishment of the National School of Government and the Public Service Commission. These institutions aim to enhance the professionalism and ethical conduct of public servants through targeted training and development programs (RSA, 2015). Furthermore, affirmative action and employment equity policies have been implemented to promote representativity and diversity within the public sector, addressing historical inequalities and ensuring that government institutions reflect the demographics of the country (Tomlinson, 2011). While challenges remain, such as resistance to change and the need for continuous capacity building, these efforts have laid the groundwork for a more inclusive and effective public administration system. The ongoing commitment to reform demonstrates the potential for significant improvements in service delivery and governance in South Africa (Pillay, 2016).

4.8. Transformation and redress

As part of the post-apartheid reforms in South Africa, affirmative action policies have been introduced to redress historical imbalances, racial exclusivity, and non- representativity in the public service. Politically, South Africa transitioned from apartheid to democracy in 1994, which marked the end of white minority rule. Various legislative reforms to address historical injustices have been introduced such the Constitution of South Africa, which guarantees equal rights for all citizens, and laws such as the Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) Act and Employment Equity Act, which aim to promote economic inclusion for previously disadvantaged groups. Progressive legislation such as the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act (PAJA), Whistle-blowers Act; Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act, Municipal Systems Act, and the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA) provide a framework for accountable, transparent and efficient public administration. Land reform entailed redistribution of land to black South Africans who were dispossessed under apartheid. Additionally, economic empowerment

focuses on promoting economic empowerment among historically disadvantaged groups through increased access to employment, education and entrepreneurship support. In terms of promoting social reconciliation and national unity, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) provided a platform for victims and perpetrators of human rights abuses to seek closure. Anti-corruption measures are driven by the establishment of various anti-corruption agencies and units.

South Africa's post-apartheid reforms have been instrumental in addressing historical imbalances and promoting representativity in the public service. Affirmative action policies, such as the Employment Equity Act, have helped to increase the representation of previously disadvantaged groups in the workforce (Badat, 2010). The Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) Act has also played a crucial role in promoting economic inclusion for black South Africans, with studies showing that B-BBEE has led to increased economic empowerment and wealth creation among black people (Khanya, 2017). Furthermore, land reform initiatives have resulted in the redistribution of land to black South Africans, helping to address the historical injustices of land dispossession (Hall, 2010).

The establishment of institutions such as the Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) has been instrumental in promoting social reconciliation and national unity (Tutu, 1999). The TRC provided a platform for victims and perpetrators of human rights abuses to seek closure, and its recommendations have informed policies aimed at promoting social justice and human rights (Villa-Vicencio, 2000). Additionally, anti-corruption measures, such as the establishment of anti-corruption agencies and units, have helped to combat corruption and promote accountability in government (Southall, 2014). Overall, these efforts have contributed to a more inclusive and equitable society, although challenges remain in terms of fully addressing the legacy of apartheid and promoting sustained economic growth and development.

4.9. Expanding access to basic public services

The document analysis also showed that South Africa has made significant strides in expanding access to basic services and social welfare programmes such as social grants and free primary healthcare. These initiatives have helped to improve the quality of life of formerly marginalized groups, reduce inequality and poverty. However, much more is required to ensure the effectiveness and sustainability of these initiatives, considering current budgetary constraints and competing development priorities.

South Africa has made considerable progress in expanding access to basic services and social welfare programs for its citizens. One of the notable achievements has been the rollout of the social grants system, which provides monthly cash transfers to vulnerable groups such as the elderly, children, and persons with disabilities (Seekings, 2007). This initiative has been instrumental in reducing poverty and improving the quality of life for marginalized communities, with studies estimating that social grants lifted over 3 million people out of poverty between 2003 and 2011 (Leibbrandt, 2010). Additionally, the government has implemented a policy of free primary healthcare, ensuring that all citizens, regardless of their socioeconomic status, have access to essential medical services (Rispel & Moorman, 2015). These programmes have helped to address historical inequalities and improve the overall well-being of the population.

However, the sustainability and effectiveness of these initiatives remain a concern, as they are constrained by budgetary limitations and competing development priorities. The document analysis noted that the public administration faces challenges in ensuring the long-term viability of these programmes, such as managing rising costs, improving service delivery, and addressing issues of corruption and mismanagement (Franks, 2014). Additionally, the government must balance the need for social welfare with investments in other critical areas, such as infrastructure development, education, and job creation, to foster holistic and equitable development (Nattrass & Seekings, 2001). Addressing these challenges therefore requires a comprehensive and strategic approach to public administration, one that prioritizes the efficient and transparent allocation of resources, the strengthening of institutional capacity, and the active engagement of citizens in the decision-making process.

4.10.   Civil society engagement

Civil society plays a pivotal role in South Africa's public administration landscape, serving as an advocate and watchdog for good governance, while promoting empowerment, inclusivity and representation. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), community-based organizations (CBOs), and advocacy groups actively engage with government institutions to promote citizen participation in decision-making processes; monitor performance; and advocate for policy reforms. Thus, civil society may voice their concerns, preferences and priorities, thereby fostering a sense of responsibility and ownership for local development initiatives. Additionally, diverse inclusivity at the level of local government engagement promotes social cohesion and the decrease in inequalities by ensuring that the diverse interests and needs of all citizens are considered.

From the findings it is clear that civil society plays a crucial role in shaping public administration in South Africa by acting as both an advocate and a watchdog for good governance. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and community-based organizations (CBOs) engage actively with government institutions to enhance citizen participation in decision-making processes. This engagement helps to hold public officials accountable and ensures that the voices of marginalized communities are heard (Friedman, 2008). By advocating for policy reforms and monitoring government performance, civil society organizations contribute to a more transparent and responsive public administration. Their efforts foster a culture of accountability and encourage local development initiatives that reflect the needs and priorities of the community, thereby strengthening democratic governance (De la Rey, 2016).

Moreover, the inclusivity promoted by civil society at the local government level enhances social cohesion and reduces inequalities. By ensuring that diverse interests are represented in governance processes, civil society helps to address historical imbalances and fosters a sense of ownership among citizens regarding local development initiatives (Matshiqi, 2013). This participatory approach not only empowers communities but also encourages collaboration between government and civil groups, which is essential for effective service delivery (SADAG, 2017). As citizens become more engaged in governance, they are better equipped to advocate for their rights and contribute to the shaping of policies that affect their lives, leading to a more equitable and inclusive society.

4.11.   Decentralization and devolution

The three spheres of government (national, provincial and local governments) focus on empowering government departments at various spheres, thereby fostering greater participation and responsiveness at the grassroots level. This provides an important check on public administration. Decentralization and devolution of government in South Africa have had several successes such as empowering local governments to take charge of their own affairs; allowing them to tailor policies and services to meet the specific needs of their communities; facilitating more efficient and effective delivery of public services; promoting entrepreneurship, investment and job creation to stimulating growth and prosperity at a local level. and to more responsive and accountable at the grassroots level.

The three-tier system of government in South Africa, comprising national, provincial, and local spheres, has played a crucial role in empowering government departments and fostering greater participation and responsiveness at the grassroots level (RSA, 1996). This decentralized approach to governance has provided an important check on public administration, as local governments are better positioned to tailor policies and services to the specific needs of their communities (Siddle & Koelble, 2016). Studies have shown that this decentralization has facilitated more efficient and effective delivery of public services, as decision-making and implementation are closer to the citizens (Koelble & LiPuma, 2010).

Furthermore, the devolution of power to local governments has yielded several successes in South Africa. By empowering municipalities to take charge of their own affairs, this approach has promoted entrepreneurship, investment, and job creation, ultimately stimulating growth and prosperity at the local level (Todes et al., 2010). This has led to more responsive and accountable public administration, as citizens are better able to engage with their local representatives and hold them accountable for the delivery of services (Reddy, 2016). Overall, the decentralization of government in South Africa has strengthened the democratic process and improved the quality of public administration across the country.

4.12. Challenges and Shortcomings in Current Governance

Public administration in South Africa continues to face numerous challenges, despite extensive reforms towards democratic governance. Such challenges impede effective democratic governance and public service delivery. Some of the challenges faced by the South African public administration are presented and discussed in this section.

4.12.1. Corruption

One of the most pervasive problems is the persistence of corruption and inefficiency within government institutions. Corruption negatively impacts the delivery of public services, exacerbates social inequality, retards economic development, and weakens public trust in government. The legacy of patronage networks inherited from the apartheid era continues to plague public sector institutions. While South Africa has numerous anti-corruption measures, a robust commitment to building accountability, trust, transparency, and ethical leadership has been compromised. Additionally, efforts to combat corruption, such as the implementation of anti-corruption legislation and the establishment of anti-corruption agencies continue, but progress is slow.

Corruption remains a significant impediment to effective public governance in South Africa, severely undermining the delivery of essential services and exacerbating social inequalities. The inefficiencies stemming from corrupt practices not only hinder economic development but also erode public trust in government institutions (Southall, 2014). The persistence of patronage networks, a legacy of the apartheid era, perpetuates a cycle of corruption that continues to affect public sector performance. Studies indicate that corruption diverts resources away from critical services, leading to inadequate healthcare, education, and infrastructure, which disproportionately impacts marginalized communities (Friedman, 2017).

Despite the existence of various anti-corruption measures, including legislation and specialized agencies, progress in addressing corruption has been slow and fraught with challenges. A lack of robust commitment to transparency, accountability, and ethical leadership has compromised these efforts (Nkuembe, 2019). While initiatives such as the Public Protector's office and the Special Investigating Unit aim to enhance oversight, their effectiveness is often undermined by political interference and inadequate enforcement (Mathekga, 2018). Consequently, the ongoing struggle against corruption not only hampers governance but also stifles citizen confidence in the democratic process, highlighting the urgent need for a comprehensive approach to restore integrity within public institutions.

4.13. Inefficiency and bureaucratic red tape

Bureaucratic red tape, administrative maladministration, and cumbersome processes hinder the delivery of quality public services and contribute to frustration and disillusionment among citizens seeking public services. Ongoing administrative reforms are needed to enhance the effectiveness, efficiency, and responsiveness of South Africa's public administration. Streamlined bureaucratic processes, improved intergovernmental relations, and embracing digital innovation to modernize service delivery are of paramount importance. The lack of responsiveness and accountability within public administration, raises concerns about political will to address ongoing delays in the efficient and effective delivery of public services. This is linked to political instability and factionalism within the African National Congress (ANC), which has undermined effective policy implementation and governance.

Bureaucratic red tape and administrative maladministration significantly hinder the delivery of quality public services in South Africa, leading to widespread frustration among citizens. Research indicates that cumbersome processes often result in delays that diminish the effectiveness of service delivery and erode public trust in government institutions (Friedman, 2018). The complexity of these bureaucratic procedures not only complicates access to essential services but also exacerbates social inequalities, as marginalized communities face greater challenges in navigating the system (Mathekga, 2020). To address these issues, ongoing administrative reforms are crucial for enhancing the responsiveness and efficiency of public administration. Streamlining bureaucratic processes and improving intergovernmental relations can pave the way for a more effective service delivery model that meets the needs of all citizens (Reddy, 2019).

Moreover, the lack of responsiveness and accountability within public administration raises critical concerns about the political will to implement necessary reforms. Political instability and factionalism

within the African National Congress (ANC) have further complicated governance, hindering effective policy implementation (Southall, 2021). This internal discord not only creates an environment of uncertainty but also undermines efforts to embrace digital innovation and modernize service delivery systems (Nkuembe, 2022). As a result, administrative reforms must prioritize not only structural changes but also the cultivation of a stable political environment that fosters accountability and encourages collaborative governance (Tshabalala, 2020). Addressing these challenges is essential for restoring public confidence and ensuring that citizens receive the quality services they deserve.

4.13.1. Capacity constraints

The public sector in South Africa often struggle with institutional capacity constraints, including skills shortages, technological resources, incompetent staff, inadequate training, outdated administrative systems, and bureaucratic red tape. This affects progress toward building a professional and capable public service, which is important for effective governance. Without a culture of excellence and innovation within the public sector, policy implementation may be delayed, and the delivery of efficient public services may be hindered, particularly in underserved areas. Financial investments to address capacity constraints to meet the evolving demands of governance is a major hindrance. This limits the ability of government to address basic service delivery issues and the effective allocation of resources.

Capacity constraints in South Africa's public sector present significant challenges to effective governance and quality service delivery. These constraints include skills shortages, inadequate training, outdated administrative systems, and bureaucratic red tape, which hinder the government's ability to build a capable public service essential for policy implementation. For example, a lack of skilled personnel leads to inefficiencies in managing resources, resulting in delayed projects and poor service delivery, particularly in underserved areas (Friedman, 2019). The issue is exacerbated by outdated technology, further entrenching inefficiencies and limiting innovation within public administration (Reddy, 2020).

To address these challenges, a comprehensive approach involving financial investment and strategic planning is necessary. The government must prioritize human resource development through targeted training and recruitment strategies to fill skills gaps (Tshabalala, 2020). Embracing technological advancements can streamline processes and reduce bureaucratic hurdles, enhancing service delivery, especially for marginalized communities (Koelble & LiPuma, 2019). Fostering a culture of accountability and responsiveness within public institutions is essential for motivating public servants to innovate. Collaboration between government and civil society can improve resource allocation and ensure citizen needs are prioritized, ultimately restoring public confidence and promoting social cohesion (Southall, 2021).

4.13.2. Social unrest and protest

Social protests, unrest and demonstrations are common occurrences in South Africa, often driven by grievances related to unemployment, service delivery failures, inequality and other socio-economic issues. Often such protests are violent and destructive, requiring effective communication, community engagement and responsive governance.

Social unrest and protests in South Africa significantly impact public administration, often highlighting systemic failures in service delivery and governance. Frequent demonstrations, driven by grievances such as unemployment and inequality, reflect deep-seated frustrations among citizens regarding the government's inability to address pressing socio-economic issues (Hyslop, 2019). Violent protests not only disrupt daily life but also strain public resources, diverting attention and funding away from essential services. The need for effective communication and community engagement becomes critical in this context, as government responses to protests can either exacerbate tensions or foster trust and cooperation between citizens and public officials (Mathekga, 2020).

Moreover, the persistent cycle of unrest poses challenges to responsive governance. When protests escalate, they often reveal the inadequacies of administrative systems in addressing citizens' concerns promptly and effectively. This situation can lead to a breakdown in public trust and further disengagement from government institutions (Friedman, 2021). Effective governance requires not only addressing the immediate causes of unrest but also implementing long-term strategies to enhance service delivery and

socio-economic conditions. This involves fostering inclusive dialogue, enhancing accountability, and ensuring that public administration is equipped to respond to the evolving needs of the populace (Nkuembe, 2022). Ultimately, the impact of social unrest underscores the urgent need for transformative governance that prioritizes citizen engagement and addresses the root causes of discontent.

4.13.3. Governance challenges

The analysis revealed significant gaps in governance frameworks, particularly in areas such as transparency, citizen participation, and service delivery accountability. These gaps create opportunities for corruption and undermine public trust in government institutions.

The significant gaps in governance frameworks in South Africa, particularly concerning transparency, citizen participation, and accountability in service delivery, have profound implications for public administration. Lack of transparency can lead to opaque decision-making processes, where citizens are unaware of how resources are allocated or how policies are implemented. This lack of clarity fosters an environment conducive to corruption, as officials may exploit these gaps for personal gain without fear of accountability (Friedman, 2020). Citizens, witnessing the absence of integrity in governance, often become disillusioned, which erodes trust in public institutions. When people perceive that their voices are not heard and that their needs remain unmet, it results in disengagement from the democratic process, further exacerbating social inequalities (Mathekga, 2021).

Moreover, insufficient citizen participation in governance exacerbates accountability issues, where public officials do not feel compelled to respond to the needs of the community. The lack of mechanisms for citizens to engage meaningfully in policy formulation and service delivery oversight leads to a disconnect between the government and the governed (Nkuembe, 2022). This disconnect not only diminishes the effectiveness of public services but also enables corrupt practices to flourish unchecked, as citizens lack the means to hold officials accountable. As a result, the implications of these governance gaps are far-reaching: they not only undermine the quality of services provided but also hinder the overall legitimacy of public administration, creating a vicious cycle of mistrust, inefficiency, and corruption that threatens the foundations of democracy in South Africa (Southall, 2021).

4.14. Comparative Analysis of South Africa, Brazil, India, Kenya, and Mexico Public Administrations

The comparative analysis is based on the documentary review and analysis which provided results presented and discussed in the preceding sections. Similarly, the themes derived from the documentary analysis are used to present findings of the comparative analysis.

4.14.1. Historical Legacies and Institutional Frameworks

All five countries share a history of colonialism that has left deep-rooted legacies in their public administrations. Like South Africa, Brazil, India, Kenya, and Mexico continue to grapple with the lingering effects of colonial rule, including discriminatory policies, unequal resource allocation, and fragmented administrative structures.

In Brazil, the legacy of Portuguese colonialism and a long history of authoritarian rule have resulted in entrenched inequalities and inefficiencies in public service delivery, particularly affecting marginalized communities (Almeida, 2017). India's colonial past under the British Raj has led to a hierarchical and centralized bureaucracy, which struggles to address the diverse needs of its vast population (Harriss-White, 2003). Kenya and Mexico have also inherited colonial-era administrative systems that have been slow to adapt to the demands of a post-independence era (Ndegwa, 2002; Grindle, 2007).

Like South Africa, these countries have undertaken various reforms to address historical injustices and promote more equitable and effective public administration. The establishment of democratic institutions, the professionalization of the civil service, and the implementation of affirmative action policies have been common strategies employed across the five countries. However, the pace and effectiveness of these reforms have varied, as they have faced persistent challenges such as institutional inertia, entrenched power structures, and a lack of political will.

4.14.2. Expanding Access to Basic Public Services

All five countries have made efforts to expand access to basic services and social welfare programs, with varying degrees of success. In Brazil, the implementation of the Bolsa Família conditional cash transfer program has helped to reduce poverty and improve access to healthcare and education, though challenges remain in ensuring the long-term sustainability of these initiatives (Soares, 2012). India has also made strides in expanding access to public services, such as the provision of free healthcare and the implementation of the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act, though issues of corruption and inefficiency continue to undermine these efforts (Sharma, 2015).

In Kenya and Mexico, the expansion of social protection programs, such as cash transfers and subsidies, has helped to improve the living conditions of the poorest citizens, but the scope and impact of these initiatives have been limited by budgetary constraints and implementation challenges (Muthee, 2010; Levy, 2006). South Africa's social grant system and free primary healthcare have been relatively more successful in addressing historical inequalities, though concerns remain about the long-term viability of these programs.

4.14.2.1.   Civil Society Engagement

Civil society plays a crucial role in shaping public administration in all five countries, serving as an advocate for good governance, promoting citizen participation, and holding governments accountable. In Brazil, India, and Mexico, non-governmental organizations and community-based groups have been instrumental in pushing for policy reforms, monitoring government performance, and amplifying the voices of marginalized communities (Friedman, 2006; Chandhoke, 2003; Isunza Vera & Gurza Lavalle, 2010). In Kenya, the active engagement of civil society has been critical in addressing corruption and advocating for transparency in public institutions (Muluka, 2015). South Africa's civil society landscape is particularly vibrant, with organizations actively engaging with the government to promote inclusive and responsive public administration. The role of civil society in South Africa has been crucial in fostering social cohesion, empowering communities, and ensuring that diverse interests are represented in governance processes.

4.14.2.2.   Decentralization and Devolution

The five countries have adopted varying approaches to decentralization and devolution, with mixed results. In Brazil, the 1988 Constitution established a decentralized federal system, which has empowered local governments and improved service delivery in some regions, though challenges remain in terms of coordination and capacity building (Arretche, 2004). India's efforts to devolve power to local governments through the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments have had a more modest impact, as state-level governments have been reluctant to relinquish control (Chaudhuri, 2006).

Kenya's 2010 Constitution significantly devolved power to county governments, which has led to improvements in service delivery and responsiveness to local needs, though issues of political interference and resource allocation continue to pose challenges (Opalo, 2019). Mexico's decentralization process has aimed to strengthen the autonomy of states and municipalities, but the centralization of power and the uneven distribution of resources have undermined the effectiveness of this approach (Cabrero Mendoza, 2004).

In South Africa, the three-tier system of government has provided an important check on public administration, enabling local governments to tailor policies and services to their communities. The devolution of power has facilitated more efficient service delivery and promoted local economic development, though concerns remain about the capacity and resources of some municipalities to effectively fulfill their mandates.

4.14.2.3.   Governance Challenges

All five countries continue to face significant governance challenges, including corruption, inefficiency, and a lack of transparency and accountability. In Brazil, Mexico, and India, high-profile corruption scandals have eroded public trust in government and undermined the effectiveness of public administration (Avritzer, 2016; Grindle, 2016; Vaishnav, 2017). Kenya has also struggled with endemic corruption, which has hindered the delivery of public services and exacerbated social inequalities (Muthee, 2010).

South Africa's public administration, like those of the other four countries, grapples with issues of bureaucratic red tape, capacity constraints, and a disconnect between citizens and government institutions. The political instability and factionalism within the ruling party have further compounded these challenges, hampering effective policy implementation and responsive governance.

Across all five countries, the need for comprehensive reforms to address these governance challenges, enhance transparency, and foster greater citizen engagement in public administration remains a critical priority. The experiences of these countries highlight the shared challenges of post-colonial and developing nations in building robust, equitable, and accountable public institutions.

4.15. Recommendations- Opportunities for Repositioning Public Administration

Presented and discussed in this section are the recommendations/opportunities for South Africa to further reposition public administration for the attainment of national development priorities as well as the attainment of the sustainable development goals (SDGs) for the benefit of all citizens of South Africa.

4.15.1. Citizen-Centric Service Delivery

The analysis emphasized the need for a shift towards citizen-centric service delivery, focusing on improving accessibility, responsiveness, and accountability. To reposition public administration in South Africa towards a citizen-centric service delivery model, it is essential to enhance accessibility, responsiveness, and accountability in governance. This shift requires the implementation of participatory governance frameworks that actively involve citizens in decision-making processes, ensuring their voices are heard and valued (Nkuembe, 2022). Additionally, leveraging technology can improve service delivery by providing real-time data and communication channels that allow for swift responses to citizen needs (Friedman, 2020). Training public servants in customer service and community engagement is also crucial to foster a culture of responsiveness and accountability (Mathekga, 2021). By prioritizing these elements, public administration can rebuild trust, improve service effectiveness, and ultimately create a more equitable society (Southall, 2021).

4.16. Strengthening Accountability and Transparency

The analysis highlighted the importance of strengthening accountability mechanisms and promoting transparency in public administration. This includes measures such as independent oversight, public access to information, and whistle-blower protection. To effectively reposition public administration in South Africa, it is vital to strengthen accountability mechanisms and promote transparency. Implementing independent oversight bodies can ensure that governmental actions are scrutinized, thereby enhancing public trust (Nkuembe, 2022). Additionally, improving public access to information through transparent communication channels empowers citizens to hold officials accountable and facilitates informed civic engagement (Friedman, 2020). Establishing robust whistle-blower protection laws is also essential, as it encourages individuals to report misconduct without fear of retaliation, thereby fostering a culture of integrity within public institutions (Mathekga, 2021). By prioritizing these measures, South Africa can create a more accountable and transparent public administration that responds effectively to the needs of its citizens (Southall, 2021).

4.16.1. Capacity Building and Innovation

The analysis underscored the need for investing in capacity building initiatives, including training programs, technology upgrades, and innovative approaches to service delivery. To reposition public administration in South Africa effectively, investing in capacity-building initiatives is essential. This includes implementing comprehensive training programs aimed at enhancing the skills of public servants, thereby improving service delivery efficiency and responsiveness (Nkuembe, 2022). Upgrading technological resources will facilitate more streamlined operations and enable innovative approaches to service delivery, ensuring that public services are accessible and effective (Friedman, 2020). Additionally, fostering a culture of innovation within public institutions can encourage creative solutions to complex governance challenges, enhancing overall performance (Mathekga, 2021). By prioritizing these investments, South Africa can cultivate a more capable and adaptive public administration that meets the evolving needs of its citizens (Southall, 2021).

5.   Conclusion

The dismantling of apartheid ushered in a new era marked by democratic governance, enshrined in the Constitution of 1996. Repositioning public administration in a post-apartheid South Africa is a dynamic and complex process shaped by the country's historical, political, and socio-economic realities. While this transition laid the foundation for a more inclusive and accountable public administration system, it has been accompanied by its own set of challenges, including corruption, spatial inequality, and capacity constraints. Addressing these challenges requires sustained political will, institutional reform and policies, and active citizen engagement. Additionally, the pace of transformation has been criticized as too slow, particularly in areas such as economic empowerment and land reform. Ultimately, the goal of public administration in a post-apartheid South Africa should be to build a more inclusive, responsive and accountable system of governance that supports the common good of all citizens. Addressing the root causes of governance challenges requires concerted efforts from government, civil society, the private sector, and citizens. Ultimately, the commitment to transformation and redress remains a fundamental goal of South Africa's post-apartheid democracy, with the public administration strengths being grounded in the constitution, diversity, progressive reforms and multiple stakeholder engagements.

References

[1]   Adam, F. & Mabunda, M. (2019) 'Exploring the role of citizen engagement in post-apartheid public administration in South Africa', *Politikon, 46*(1), pp. 50-67.

[2]   Almeida, C. (2017) 'Reforming public administration in Brazil: Hopes and disappointments', *Governance, 30*(2), pp. 271-286.

[3]   Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2007). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, *18*(4), 543–571. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032

[4]   Arretche, M. (2004) 'Federalism and regional regulation in Brazil', *Brazilian Political Science Review, 1*(1), pp. 40-65.

[5]   Auditor-General. (2020). Annual Report 2019-2020. Pretoria: Auditor-General of South Africa.

[6]   Avritzer, L. (2016) 'Corruption and the Crisis of Democracy in Brazil', *Critical Policy Studies, 10*(3), pp. 364-378.

[7]   Bhalerao, R. (2020) 'Repositioning public administration in the 21st century: Lessons from Singapore and Rwanda', *International Journal of Public Administration, 43*(6), pp. 531-541.

[8]   Bond, P. (2000). Elite transition: From apartheid to neoliberalism in South Africa. Pluto Press.

[9]   Bryson, J.M., Crosby, B.C. & Bloomberg, L. (2015) 'Public value governance: Moving beyond traditional public administration and the new public management', *Public Administration Review, 75*(3), pp. 445-456.

[10]   Cabrero Mendoza, E. (2004) 'From centralism to municipal pragmatism: New approaches to local government in Mexico', *International Review of Administrative Sciences, 70*(3), pp. 424-440.

[11]   Chandhoke, N. (2003) 'A critic of the postcolonial state in India', Theory, *Culture & Society, 20*(2), pp. 33-49.

[12]   Chaudhuri, S. (2006) 'What difference does a constitutional amendment make? The 1994 Panchayati Raj Act and the attempt to revitalize local government in India', in Crook, R. C. and Sverrisson, A. S. (eds.) Decentralization and Poverty Reduction in Developing Countries. Sida Studies No. 15. Stockholm: Sida, pp. 155-192.

[13]   Chipkin, I. & Swilling, M. (2018) Shadow state: The politics of state capture. Johannesburg: Wits University Press.

[14]   Emerson, K. & Nabatchi, T. (2015) Collaborative governance regimes. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.

[15]   Emerson, K., Nabatchi, T. & Balogh, S. (2012) 'An integrative framework for collaborative governance', *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 22*(1), pp. 1-29.

[16] Franks, P. E. (2014). The crisis of the South African public service. *The Journal of the Helen Suzman Foundation, (73)*, 8-15.

[17] Friedman, S. (2006) 'Participatory governance and citizen action in post-apartheid South Africa', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 30*(3), pp. 667-685.

[18] Friedman, S. (2011). Whose liberation? The African National Congress and the struggle for democracy in South Africa. *Journal of Southern African Studies, 37*(2), 239-254.

[19] Friedman, S. (2017). The impact of corruption on service delivery in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 9*(1), 25-40.

[20] Friedman, S. (2018). Bureaucratic inefficiencies and service delivery in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 10*(2), 45-58.

[21] Friedman, S. (2019). Capacity constraints in South African public administration: Challenges and solutions. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 11*(2), 33-45.

[22] Friedman, S. (2020). Governance and corruption in South Africa: A critical analysis. *Journal of Public Administration, 55*(3), 101-115.

[23] Grindle, M. S. (2007) Going Local: Decentralization, Democratization, and the Promise of Good Governance. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

[24] Grindle, M. S. (2016) 'Good Enough Governance Revisited', Development Policy Review, 29(5), pp. 553-574.

[25] Gruening, G. (2001) 'Origin and theoretical basis of New Public Management', *International Public Management Journal, 4*(1), pp. 1-25.

[26] Habib, A. (2013). South Africa's suspended revolution: Hopes and prospects. Wits University Press.

[27] Haffajee, F. (2021) 'Rebuilding trust and accountability in South African public administration', Public Administration Review, 81(4), pp. 718-724.

[28] Hall, P.A. & Taylor, R.C. (1996) 'Political science and the three new institutionalisms', Political Studies, 44(5), pp. 936-957.

[29] Hall, R. (2010). The politics of social policy in South Africa. Journal of Southern African Studies, 36(3), 541-555.

[30] Harrison, P. (2016). The impact of apartheid on urban planning and development in South Africa. *Urban Forum, 27*(2), 147-162.

[31] Harriss-White, B. (2003) India Working: Essays on Society and Economy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[32] Hood, C. (1991) 'A public management for all seasons?', Public Administration, 69(1), pp. 3-19.

[33] Hyslop, J. (2016). Corruption and anti-corruption in South Africa. African Affairs, 115(460), 365-387.

[34] Hyslop, J. (2019). Protest and governance in South Africa: A historical perspective. *African Affairs, 118*(473), 347-367.

[35] Isunza Vera, E. and Gurza Lavalle, A. (2010) 'Societal-state interfaces and the construction of accountability: a view from contentive politics', in Peruzzotti, E. and Smulovitz, C. (eds.) Controlling politics: citizens and media in the new Latin American democracies. Buenos Aires: Temas, pp. 267-310

[36] Koelble, T. A., & LiPuma, E. (2010). Institutional obstacles to service delivery in South Africa. Social Dynamics, 36(3), 565-584.

[37] Koelble, T. A., & LiPuma, E. (2019). Digital innovation in public service delivery: Lessons from South Africa. Public Administration Review, 79(4), 543-558.

[38] Levy, S. (2006) Progress against Poverty: Sustaining Mexico's Progresa-Oportunidades Program. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

[39] Mafunisa, M. J. (2017). The role of the Auditor-General in promoting accountability in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 9*(1), 19-30.

[40] Manyaka, R.K. & Sebola, M.P. (2015) 'Impact of corruption in the public sector: The case of the Department of Home Affairs in Limpopo province', African Journal of Public Affairs, 8(2), pp. 14-32.

[41] Maphunye, K.J. (2018) 'South Africa's post-apartheid public administration: Some critical reflections', *International Journal of Public Administration, 41*(10), pp. 780-789.

[42] Mathekga, R. (2018). Corruption and governance in South Africa: Challenges and prospects. South African Journal of Governance, 4(2), 12-28.

[43] Mathekga, R. (2020). The impact of red tape on public service delivery in South Africa. *Journal of Public Administration, 55*(1), 19-36.

[44] Mathekga, R. (2021). The impact of bureaucratic red tape on service delivery in South Africa. *Journal of Public Administration, 56*(1), 22-39.

[45] Mathekga, R. (2021). Trust and service delivery in South Africa: The role of governance. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 13*(2), 30-45.

[46] Mbeki, T. (2004). Address to the National Assembly on the occasion of the budget vote of the Presidency. Cape Town: Parliament of South Africa.

[47] Mkhwebane, B. (2018). The role of the Public Protector in South African democracy. *Journal of African Law, 62*(1), 1-22.

[48] Mohale, D. (2022) 'Towards citizen-centric public administration in South Africa: Lessons from international experiences', *International Review of Administrative Sciences, 88*(2), pp. 352-368.

[49] Mubangizi, B.C. & Tshandu, Z. (2019) 'Leveraging e-governance to enhance service delivery in South African local government', *International Review of Administrative Sciences, 85*(3), pp. 574-589.

[50] Muluka, B. (2015) 'Civil Society and Democratic Governance in Kenya', *Journal of Eastern African Studies, 9*(2), pp. 200-217.

[51] Muthee, M. (2010) 'Devolution in Kenya's new Constitution: The Governance, Legal and Administrative Implications', KIPPRA Policy Brief No. 9. Nairobi: KIPPRA.

[52] National School of Government. (2020). Annual Report 2019-2020. Pretoria: National School of Government.

[53] Nattrass, N., & Seekings, J. (2001). Two nations? Race and economic inequality in South Africa today. David Philip Publishers.

[54] Ndegwa, S. N. (2002) Decentralization in Africa: A Stocktaking Survey. Africa Region Working Paper Series No. 40. Washington, DC: World Bank.

[55] Nengwekhulu, R. (2018) 'Enhancing public sector performance and service delivery in South Africa', Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review, 6(1), pp. 1-9.

[56] Nkosi, G.V. (2019) 'Exploring the linkages between trust in government and public administration in South Africa', Politikon, 46(3), pp. 281-298.

[57] Nkuembe, T. (2019). Accountability and anti-corruption in South African public institutions. Journal of Public Administration, 54(4), 679-695.

[58] Nkuembe, T. (2022). Citizen participation and accountability in South African governance. *South African Journal of Governance*, 8(1), 67-82.

[59] Nkuembe, T. (2022). Digital innovation in public administration: Opportunities and challenges. South African Journal of Governance, 5(3), 77-92.

[60] Nkuembe, T. (2022). Financial constraints and public sector performance in South Africa. South African Journal of Governance, 6(2), 89-104.

[61] Ntshoe, I.M. & Selesho, J.M. (2017) 'The impact of colonial and apartheid legacies on public administration in South Africa', Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review, 5(1), pp. 1-8.

[62] Opalo, K. O. (2019) 'Decentralization, Governance, and Accountability: Recent African Experiences', Annual Review of Political Science, 22, pp. 281-301.

[63]   Osei, D. & Dufour, J. (2022) 'The role of e-governance in improving public service delivery: Lessons from Rwanda and Singapore', International Review of Administrative Sciences, 88(1), pp. 150-168.

[64]   Pillay, D. (2016). The poor and the politics of poverty in South Africa. Journal of Southern African Studies, 42(5), 841-856.

[65]   Pillay, P. & Kluvers, R. (2014) 'Corruption: Perceptions and experiences of public sector managers in South Africa', Southern African Journal of Accountability and Auditing Research, 16, pp. 9-20.

[66]   Pillay, S. & Maphunye, K.J. (2021) 'Public administration in the 21st century: Implications for South Africa', African Journal of Public Affairs, 12(1), pp. 120-138.

[67]   Pillay, U., Hagg, G. & Nyamnjoh, F. (2017) State of the nation: South Africa 2012-2013. Pretoria: HSRC Press.

[68]   Pollitt, C. & Bouckaert, G. (2011) Public management reform: A comparative analysis - New public management, governance, and the neo-Weberian state. 3rd Edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[69]   Prevention and Combating of Corrupt Activities Act (Act No. 12 of 2004). (2004). Government Gazette.

[70]   Public Protector. (2019). Annual Report 2018-2019. Pretoria: Public Protector of South Africa.

[71]   Public Service Commission (2021) State of the Public Service Report. Pretoria: Public Service Commission.

[72]   Public Service Commission. (2019). Annual Report 2018-2019. Pretoria: Public Service Commission.

[73]   Reddy, P. S. (2016). The politics of service delivery in South Africa. Politeia, 35(2), 1-26.

[74]   Reddy, P. S. (2019). Reforming public administration for effective service delivery in South Africa. Politeia, 38(3), 15-30.

[75]   Reddy, P. S. (2020). Skills shortages in the South African public sector: An analysis. Politeia, 39(2), 45-61.

[76]   Reddy, P.S. (2016) 'The politics of service delivery in South Africa: The local government sphere in context', The Journal for Transdisciplinary Research in Southern Africa, 12(1), pp. 1-8.

[77]   Republic of South Africa (RSA). (1996). Constitution of the Republic of South Africa. Pretoria: Government Printers.

[78]   Republic of South Africa (RSA). (2015). National Development Plan 2030. Pretoria: Government Printers.

[79]   Republic of South Africa (RSA). (2019). National Development Plan 2030. Pretoria: Government Printers.

[80]   Rispel, L. C., & Moorman, J. (2015). Health policy in South Africa: The national health insurance debate. In P. Kennon, S. MacKay, A. Michener, & D. E. Sahn (Eds.), The political economy of health and healthcare in Africa (pp. 81-100). Springer.

[81]   SAHRC (South African Human Rights Commission). (2017). Report on the inquiry into the status of sanitation in South Africa. Johannesburg: SAHRC.

[82]   Seekings, J. (2007). Poverty and inequality after apartheid. Journal of Southern African Studies, 31(1), 23-50.

[83]   Sharma, N. (2015) 'Governance Challenges in India: The Case of the Public Distribution System', Oxford Development Studies, 43(2), pp. 203-217.

[84]   Siddle, A., & Koelble, T. A. (2016). The failure of decentralisation in South African local government: Complexity and unanticipated consequences. Juta and Company.

[85]   Soares, F. V. (2012) 'Brazil's Bolsa Família: A Review', Economic and Political Weekly, 47(10), pp. 55-60.

[86]   Southall, R. (2014). Corruption and governance in South Africa. Journal of Contemporary African Studies, 32(2), 147-164.

[87] Southall, R. (2021). Governance challenges in South Africa: The role of public administration. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 39(3), 467-482.

[88] Southall, R. (2021). Political instability and governance challenges in South Africa. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 39(4), 503-520.

[89] Southall, R. (2021). The legitimacy crisis in South African public administration. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 39(2), 225-240.

[90] Subban, M. & Theron, H. (2020) 'Collaborative governance for improved service delivery in South African local government', Politeia, 39(1), pp. 1-20.

[91] Surender, R. (2019) 'Social policy in a transforming South Africa', International Journal of Environmental Studies, 76(3), pp. 412-426.

[92] Thelen, K. (1999) 'Historical institutionalism in comparative politics', Annual Review of Political Science, 2(1), pp. 369-404.

[93] Thornhill, C. (2015) 'The purpose and content of a new research agenda for public administration in a developmental state', Administratio Publica, 23(3), pp. 87-101.

[94] Todes, A., Kok, P., Wentzel, M., Van Zyl, J., & Cross, C. (2010). Contemporary South African urbanization dynamics. Urban Forum, 21(3), 331-348.

[95] Tomlinson, R. (2011). The geography of uneven development in post-apartheid South Africa. Journal of Southern African Studies, 37(4), 647-664.

[96] Transparency International. (2019). Corruption Perceptions Index 2019: South Africa. Retrieved from https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2019/index/saf

[97] Tshabalala, N. (2020). Accountability and service delivery in local government: A South African perspective. Public Administration Review, 80(5), 819-829.

[98] Tshabalala, N. (2020). Building a capable state: The need for public sector reform in South Africa. *African Journal of Public Affairs, 12*(1), 15-29.

[99] Tshabangu, I. (2020) 'Repositioning public administration in South Africa: Challenges and prospects', *Africa Insight, 49*(4), pp. 80-92.

[100] Vaishnav, M. (2017) When Crime Pays: Money and Muscle in Indian Politics. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

[101] Van der Westhuizen, C. (2017) 'Tracing the colonial and apartheid legacies in contemporary South African public administration', *Politeia, 36*(1), pp. 22-37.

[102] WHO (World Health Organization). (2018). Water, sanitation and hygiene. Geneva: WHO.

[103] Leibbrandt, I. W. &. M. (2010). The evolution and impact of unconditional cash transfers in South Africa. *ideas.repec.org*. https://ideas.repec.org/p/ldr/wpaper/51.html